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COMMENTS

PROTECTING THE PUBLIC FROM AIDS: A NEW CHALLENGE TO TRADITIONAL FORMS OF EPIDEMIC CONTROL

I. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are not new to civilization. Plagues are spoken of in the Old Testament\(^1\) and have been recorded as early as 500 B.C.\(^2\) In the fourteenth century, outbreaks of three different plague strains carried by rats destroyed anywhere from twenty-five to fifty percent of Europe's population.\(^3\) A swine flu epidemic in 1918 resulted in the death of 500,000 Americans.\(^4\) As recently as 1976, an anticipated epidemic of swine flu resulted in a federal campaign to vaccinate those thought most likely to contract the potentially fatal disease.\(^5\) More recently, the U.S. Public Health Service has declared the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS)\(^6\) to be its number one priority.\(^7\)

A new disease with a high mortality rate, AIDS attacks and breaks down the immune system, leaving the body vulnerable to life threatening illnesses.\(^8\)

---

1. *Exodus* 9:14
3. Id. at xiii; see also infra notes 17-21 and accompanying text.
5. Id. at 539. The epidemic failed to occur and the vaccine, which had never been proven effective, created a great deal of panic by reports of its unpleasant and even fatal side effects. Id.
6. Sometimes called the Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome.
Of the 16,458 cases of AIDS reported as of January 13, 1986, fifty-one percent of the adults and fifty-nine percent of the children have died. In the United States, approximately seventy-three percent of persons with AIDS have been homosexual or bisexual men, seventeen percent have been intravenous drug users, and one percent have been hemophiliacs.

Although most scientists and public health officials agree that AIDS is not spread by casual contact, the disease carries with it a stigma comparable to leprosy. Reports of discrimination against persons known to have or suspected of having AIDS are becoming more common. Intensifying the stigma is the fact that the major at-risk group for AIDS is homosexual and bisexual men. The epidemic is continuing to grow, however, and has already begun to spread beyond the risk groups to the general population.

Government, at the federal, state, and local levels, is charged with protecting the public health and must attempt to control AIDS. The federal government is charged with protecting the public health and must attempt to control AIDS. The federal government is charged with protecting the public health and must attempt to control AIDS.


10. WHAT EVERYONE SHOULD KNOW ABOUT AIDS, supra note 8, at 7. See infra note 35.


12. For cases of AIDS-related discrimination see generally GUIDE, supra note 9, at 2-3; see also infra note 43.

13. See supra note 9.

14. See, e.g., Rensberger, AIDS Cases in 1985 Exceed Total of All Previous Years, Wash. Post, Jan. 17, 1986, at A1, col. 4. See also The New Victims, LIFE, July 1985 at 12-19 . It is now common knowledge that the AIDS virus is capable of being transmitted through intimate heterosexual as well as homosexual contact. See also Russell, AIDS Exposure Feared Wider, Wash. Post, Nov. 5, 1984, at A6, col. 1 (As many as 300,000 people may be infected with the AIDS virus). In Washington, D.C., the number of AIDS cases is expected to increase from 238 in April, 1985 to 652 by October, 1986. Wash. Blade, Apr. 5, 1985, at 9, col. 1. See also Redfield, supra note 11. Estimates have run as high as 1.2 million for the number of people that may be infected with the AIDS virus nationwide. Rensberger & Russell, AIDS: A Menace Beyond 'Risk Groups', Wash. Post Sep. 4, 1985, at A1, col. 4.
eration, through its ability to collect information and provide funds for research, is in a unique position to take the lead in developing a vaccine and discovering a cure. State and local governments do not have access to information collected from physicians in other jurisdictions, and moreover, are not equipped to undertake the financial responsibilities of a national health problem. Local officials have the resources and the responsibility to deal with local effects of an epidemic. Thus far, local governments, through public health statutes and regulations, have had virtually unlimited power to impose restrictions on individual liberties in order to control an epidemic.

This Comment will examine government involvement in controlling two major epidemics, the Black Death of the fourteenth century and the ancient disease of leprosy. Traditional powers of public health officials will be reviewed, including the advisability of employing these methods in attempting to control AIDS. The civil liberties issues that are a major symptom of the AIDS epidemic will be analyzed, specifically the right to privacy, the role of the federal government and the danger of discrimination. This Comment will conclude with a discussion of how these conflicting considerations—public health and civil liberties—must be balanced in order to control a major health problem in modern society.

II. ORGANIZED EPIDEMIC CONTROL

A. The Beginnings.

The Black Death, a combination of bubonic, pneumonic and septicaemic plague strains carried by rats, devastated much of Europe in the fourteenth century. One of four pandemics that carried bubonic plague, it was said to have caused victims to turn black just before death. It is from this era that modern public health ordinances developed. The earliest extant recorded public health ordinance is from Reggio, Italy. Dated January 17, 1374, a proclamation of Viscount Bernabo ordered “the evacuation of those stricken with plague from the town until they either recovered or died, the ten-day isolation of their nurses, and attendants to be specially chosen for plague cases, with disobedience punishable by burning at the stake and confiscation of property.” In March, 1348, the Venetian Great Council appointed a provisional Committee of Three “to consider diligently all possible ways to

15. See infra notes 60-69 and accompanying text.
16. Id.
17. GOTTFRIED, supra note 2, at xiii.
18. Id. See also V. Link, A HISTORY OF PLAGUE IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 1 (1955). The Black Death claimed an estimated twenty-five million lives—one quarter of the population of fourteenth century Europe. Id.
preserve public health and avoid corruption of the environment.” Other health boards emerged in Europe at this time. At first their sole function was to contain the plague, but by the year 1400 their responsibilities included supervision or control over most aspects of health and hygiene.

Not surprisingly, the people of the Middle Ages looked for a reason that would explain the plague. With human efforts useless against it, medieval thought was that the Black Death was an act of God punishing the human race for its sins. Scapegoats were sought and found. The medieval reaction has not been confined to the fourteenth century. The answer to the question, “how to protect the public health,” has been repeatedly to isolate the afflicted until they either recover or die. This method has become increasingly difficult as cities have become more populated, society has become more mobile and epidemics have remained elusive.

B. A Biblical Perspective.

No disease in recorded history has carried a greater stigma than leprosy.

20. Gottfried, supra note 2, at 122. The Committee was revived in 1361 during another epidemic.

21. Id. at 123. The public health board of Florence, Italy, was created in 1347 because of the Black Death. By the middle of the fifteenth century it was “charged with the responsibilities of making provisions, issuing ordinances, preserving the public health and avoiding an epidemic.” Id.


23. Popular belief was that Jews were bringing on Black Death by poisoning the drinking water. The story apparently began in Neustadt, Germany, in 1348 and spread throughout Europe in a short time. Pogroms against Jews were common. “The general breakdown of law and order made Jews especially vulnerable, particularly if they were wealthy.” Gottfried, supra note 2, at 52. In Germany, wells and springs were built over; many Jews were burned alive. All Europe’s Jews would probably have been murdered had it not been for the intervention of Pope Clement VI (who issued two Papal bills declaring the Jews innocent) and the efforts of Emperor Charles IV, Duke Albert of Austria (and others, who, after payment of large sums of money, exerted their influence to protect the Jews). Hirst, supra note 19, at 18-19.


25. Isolation and quarantine are justifiable and necessary methods for controlling the spread of diseases that are casually transmitted, such as smallpox, measles and tuberculosis. See infra notes 28-30 and accompanying text.

26. See infra notes 62-72 and accompanying text.
A chronic, degenerative disease, leprosy causes the disintegration of body tissue and bone, leaving its victims disfigured but alive. Medieval doctors believed that the disease was caused by divine judgment and could therefore never be cured. The medical and societal response was isolation, a practice that still continues. A person diagnosed as having leprosy "was counted among the dead, and a leper's mass was sung for his soul. Earth was shovelled on his feet, symbolizing departure from the mainstream of society." Lepers were required to wear distinctive clothing and to touch people and things with a rod. Forbidden to enter public places, lepers could not use any public water source. Their legal identities became "muddled, including their right to hold property." Although AIDS is relatively new, and, like leprosy, is not spread by casual contact, it shares with that ancient disease a stigma that may be as harmful as the syndrome itself.

III. THE AIDS CONTROVERSY

AIDS has been the focus of a considerable amount of public hysteria.

27. Leprosy is still endemic in most of Africa, parts of India and Asia, and some Latin American countries. The exact mode of transmission is not known; the bacteria that causes leprosy, Mycobacterium Leprae, has been identified yet scientists are unable to grow it in a laboratory environment. It is likely, although not proven, that a genetic susceptibility factor affects the incidence of the disease. The School of Social Welfare, Louisiana State University, Final Report: The Identification of Psychosocial Factors Related to the Rehabilitation of Leprosy Patients 2 (1970) [hereinafter cited as The School of Social Welfare]. An estimated fifteen million people suffer from leprosy worldwide, approximately twenty-five percent of whom are being treated. D. Pearson & T. Wheate, Essentials of Leprosy (1977).


29. Id.

30. Consider the following entry under "Leprosy" in 8 Cyclopedia of Medicine, Surgery and Specialties 869-70 (1939):

In countries in which it is economically feasible, the isolation and segregation of lepers is the ideal method with which to attempt eradication . . . . As long as the exact mode of transmission is not known, it is apparent that any effort to control leprosy without controlling the leper as a center of infection is likely to result in failure (Emphasis in original).

31. Gottfried, supra note 2, at 13. Society's tolerance of lepers has varied. Ritualistic laws to isolate lepers are described in detail in the Bible. Leviticus 13:2 et seq. Those afflicted with the disease were completely rejected by society until the time of William the Conquerer. In 1909, some of the returning crusaders brought leprosy back to Europe with them: "This created quite a dilemma, for if leprosy was God's penalty for sin, how could it be visited upon men engaged in God's work?" The School of Social Welfare, supra note 27, at 7. The solution was to readmit lepers to society. Yet by the fourteenth century, lepers were again segregated from their fellow human beings. Id.

32. Id.

33. Id.

While the disease is new and has a high mortality rate, much of the mystery sparks from the fact that in the United States AIDS has primarily attacked homosexual or bisexual men.\(^3\) An immoral, "fast-lane" lifestyle is perceived by the public and by many persons with AIDS (PWA's) as the cause of the disease.\(^3\) This perception has complicated the epidemic control process by confronting it with its greatest adversary: civil liberties. History has shown that epidemics have been controlled by infringing upon civil liberties.\(^3\) AIDS poses a new challenge to society's traditional methods for protecting the public health. Three concerns—the right of privacy, federal spending, and public health laws at the local government level—have emerged as unique to the AIDS epidemic and explain why AIDS is a national health problem as well as a gay rights issue.

A. The Right to Privacy.

The dilemma over confidentiality in connection with AIDS has two distinct roots: the first began when the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) designated AIDS a reportable illness, and the second developed more recently with the discovery of a blood test that determines if blood is likely to have been infected with the AIDS virus.\(^3\)

\(^{35}\) Wofsky & Mills, supra note 7, at 514. Approximately seventy-one percent of AIDS cases reported in the U.S. as of January 6, 1984 were homosexual or bisexual men, seventeen percent were intravenous drug users, five percent were Haitian immigrants, one percent each were hemophiliacs, blood transfusion recipients, heterosexual contacts of other risk group members, and no apparent risk factors. Id. The April 2, 1985 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report did not contain a separate entry for Haitians. Being labeled a risk group for AIDS led to complaints of discrimination, and, while political pressure may have played a role in dropping Haitians from the list, the conclusion that Haitians—by merely being Haitian—are not at greater risk for AIDS than the general population appears to have been based on ample evidence. Wash. Post, Apr. 9, 1985, at A3, col. 1. For a contemporary discussion of the political and social questions arising from AIDS, see Black, supra note 24. As an indication of the overwhelming impact of AIDS on American society, it is the subject of two plays, see Brown, N.Y. Stage: AIDS in the Spotlight, Wash. Post, June 7, 1985, Weekend, at 9, col. 1 (Review of As Is currently playing at the Lyceum Theater in New York and The Normal Heart currently at New York's Public Theater), and was the topic of a prime time television movie, see Byron, AIDS Drama Comes to Prime Time NBC, Wash. Blade, Nov. 8, 1985, at A1, col. 1 (Review of An Early Frost).


\(^{37}\) See supra notes 15-33 and accompanying text.

\(^{38}\) Scott, Should Gay Men Take the HTLV Antibody Test, Wash. Blade, Apr. 26, 1985, at
By labeling AIDS as a reportable illness, CDC is able to compile statistics which help it monitor the epidemic and any significant trends. CDC publishes these statistics in the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR). In addition, CDC serves as a center for research and information.

Gay leaders have expressed concern that the government might use the information CDC receives for discriminatory purposes. Gays have ample reason to fear the release of names in connection with an AIDS diagnosis or AIDS research. Even though privacy has come to be recognized as a "right" in certain contexts, the Supreme Court has never extended it to homosexual conduct. Fear of AIDS is responsible for discrimination against gays in general and AIDS patients in particular. In at least three instances, CDC has disclosed names of persons with AIDS to city health

---


40. In addition to the economic, psychological, and medical reasons confidentiality is important to gay men, homosexual activity between consenting adults is a crime in approximately thirty states. Guide, supra note 9, at 17. See also Comment, AIDS — A New Reason to Regulate Homosexuality? 11 J. Contemp. L. 315 (1984); Novick, At Risk for AIDS: Confidentiality in Research and Surveillance, 6 I.R.B. 10 (1984). See also infra note 43.


42. Doe v. Commonwealth's Attorney, 425 U.S. 901 (1976). Holding that Doe was decided on the issue of standing and is therefore not binding, the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals held the Georgia sodomy statute unconstitutional for infringing on gays' rights to privacy. Hardwick v. Bowers, 760 F.2d 1202 (11th Cir.), cert. granted, 106 S. Ct. 342 (1985).

The Fifth Circuit came to a contrary conclusion in Baker v. Wade, 769 F.2d 289 (5th Cir.), reh'g denied, 774 F.2d 1285 (1985), reversing the district court by a 9 to 7 majority. The court, in a one paragraph discussion, denied the equal protection argument because "of the strong objection to homosexual conduct which has prevailed in Western Culture for the past seven centuries." The dissent points out an extraordinary number of procedural irregularities in the majority opinion. Id. at 12 (Rubin, J., dissenting).

43. See Boodman, AIDS Patients Fight Pain and Misconceptions, Wash. Post, Dec. 2, 1984, at A1, col. 6. A gay store manager in New York City was fired after taking a day off to see a doctor; a New York city physician treating AIDS patients was threatened with eviction (the neighbors claimed that patient traffic through the lobby lowered property values and endangered tenants); and the Air Force attempted to discharge a serviceman without medical benefits who contracted AIDS on the grounds that having AIDS amounted to misconduct in and of itself. Guide, supra note 9, at 2-3. See also Reaves, AIDS and the Law, 69 A.B.A. J. 1014 (1983); Blodget, Despite the Public's Hands-Off Attitude Toward AIDS, Those Who Discriminate Against the Disease's Victims Are Finding No Immunity from the Law, 12 Student L. 8 (1984); Nat'l L. J., July 25, 1983, at 3, col. 1; Chase, Doctor's Efforts to Control AIDS Spark Battles Over Civil Liberties, Wall Street J., Feb. 11, 1985, at 23, col. 3; AIDS, Newsweek, Aug. 12, 1985 at 20 (cover story); AIDS: A Growing Threat, Time, Aug. 12, 1985 at 40 (cover story); The AIDS Conflict, Newsweek, Sep. 23, 1985 at 19 (cover story); Fear and
Although CDC officials claimed that the names were released for medical reasons, not for harassment, this heightened the fears of members of a minority group insecure about their civil liberties. Without adequate assurances of confidentiality, PWA's may lie about their sexual contacts for fear of persecution, sympathetic physicians may fail to report AIDS cases, and vital information needed by CDC and researchers will be inaccurate or incomplete.

The announcement of the development of a blood test that screens blood donors created new fears that the identities of individuals testing positive might be divulged to law enforcement agencies and be used for discriminatory purposes. The blood test is designed to detect the presence of HTLV-III antibodies, which are believed to be present in blood only after exposure to the HTLV-III virus. Research is leading scientists to believe that this virus is the cause of AIDS; the evidence, however, is not conclusive. Use of the blood test to determine whether an individual is likely to contract AIDS, although misleading, is gaining wide acceptance.

In August 1985, Los Angeles became the first American city to adopt a comprehensive law aimed at protecting PWA's. Los Angeles, Cal. Ordinance 160289 (Aug. 16, 1985). The ordinance is reprinted in Appendix A hereto.

Medical News, supra note 39.

Id.


Scientists are hopeful that the use of the blood test will provide new information about AIDS. Medical News, 253 J. A.M.A. 16-24 (1985).

The Defense Department announced on August 31, 1985 that all recruits for the armed services would henceforth have their blood tested for exposure to the AIDS virus. There are approximately 328,000 recruits per year. Those testing positive will be barred from the military. Engel, Recruits to Receive AIDS Tests, Wash. Post, Aug. 31, 1985, at A1, col. 1. On October 19, 1985, the military announced that all 2.5 million men and women in the armed services will be tested. Hilts & Engel, Armed Forces to be Tested for AIDS, Wash. Post, Oct. 19, 1985, at A1, col. 1. While the original policy promised that personnel with positive test results would not be discharged, id., in practice this has not been the case. Engel, Navy Reverses AIDS Virus Policy, Wash. Post, Jan. 18, 1986, at A10, col. 1. Defense Department officials have denied that the purpose of the massive screening is to detect gay men.
has overshadowed the original purpose of the HTLV-III test—to screen blood donations to the nation’s blood supply.\(^{49}\)

The ethical questions involved in notifying research volunteers of their test results are reason for concern. A number of projects to study AIDS are being conducted throughout the nation with healthy volunteers.\(^{50}\) Should any of these volunteers be found to have AIDS or to have HTLV-III antibodies, those conducting the studies will have to decide whether or not to inform the volunteers. There are valid arguments for and against advising volunteers of their blood test results. The argument against disclosure reasons that since AIDS is not curable, the subjects would be caused needless concern and anxiety.\(^{51}\) The argument for disclosure, however, considers the project a formal research study in which informed consent requirements would apply unless waived by the subjects.\(^{52}\) While persons testing positive would know to seek a cure if and when one is discovered and to restrict their intimate activities so as not to unnecessarily endanger others, the reliability of the blood test has not been established.\(^{53}\) The use of the blood test as a diagnostic test for AIDS is misleading at best.

**B. The Politics of Federal Grant Money.**

The federal government will contribute approximately eighty-five percent reason originally given for implementing the test, because “blood in soldier-to-soldier transfusions during battle conditions could not be tested for purity,” Engel, *Recruits to Receive AIDS Tests*, Wash. Post. Aug. 31, 1985, at A1, col. 1, does not seem likely when 2.5 million people, many of them office workers, are being screened.

\(^{49}\) The use of the HTLV-III test has made the nation’s blood supply safe. Many Americans believe, despite every assurance to the contrary, that they can contract AIDS by donating blood; as a result the blood supply is dangerously low. Engel, *Fears of AIDS Limit Blood Donations*, Wash. Post, Jan. 15, 1986, at 15 (Health Supp.); Horwitz, *Back to the Donor*, Wash. Post, May 1, 1985, at 9 (Health Supp.).


\(^{51}\) Id.

\(^{52}\) Id. *See also* Novick, *supra* note 40. Informed consent has been described as the requirement “that before any person be subjected to any medical procedure that person must be informed about the procedure and its alternative, give voluntary consent to undergo that procedure, and be competent to give that consent.” Schwartz, *Informed Consent to Participation in Medical Research Employing Elderly Human Subjects*, 1 J. Contemp. Health L. & Pol’y 115, 119 (1985). Another difficult question is whether or not recipients of blood transfusions from donors that are later diagnosed as having AIDS or as HTLV-III positive should be so informed if they are traceable. Curran, *supra* note 50, at 904.

\(^{53}\) In light of the long incubation period for AIDS, it has been widely suggested that all individuals in high risk groups restrict their intimate activities, regardless of HTLV-III test results. *See infra* note 81. The HTLV-III blood test has been made available to community health centers in order to provide individuals in high risk groups with an alternative to donating blood for taking the test. Wash. Blade, Apr. 26, 1985, at 9, col. 1.
of the country's health research funds in fiscal year 1986.\textsuperscript{54} The President has requested budget authority of approximately $348.8 billion for the Department of Health and Human Services (H.H.S.) for fiscal year 1986.\textsuperscript{55} Of this amount, approximately $126.3 million will go towards AIDS programs.\textsuperscript{56} This represents a 31.4 percent increase over the original request for fiscal year 1985 of $96.1 million.\textsuperscript{57} The Reagan administration, dedicated to reducing a growing deficit by cutting budget appropriations, has not seen fit to make an exception for AIDS. This lack of financial commitment has given credence to what has been perceived as hostility on the part of the Administration towards gays in general, and indifference towards the AIDS epidemic.\textsuperscript{58}

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) receives, by far, the largest amount of federal money designated for AIDS programs. It uses a portion of these funds for its own research, and grants the remainder to independent scientists. The average NIH grant takes approximately eighteen months to be funded.\textsuperscript{59} There is a question, however, as to how much money designated for AIDS research is actually being used for that purpose.\textsuperscript{60} Thus, the federal government, because of its control over funding, is viewed as the primary source for assistance and at the same time as an antagonist.\textsuperscript{61}

\textsuperscript{55} \textit{Id.} at 8-105.
\textsuperscript{57} \textit{Id.}

The announcement that Rock Hudson was in Paris seeking treatment for AIDS came one day before a statement that the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services intends to increase its AIDS budget by $40.7 million in 1986. Wash. Blade, July 26, 1985, at 1, col. 1. As a spokesman for the National Gay Task Force commented, "Isn't it ironic that a good friend of the president's has to go to a foreign country to seek treatment for a disease?" \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{59} FETTNER & CHECK, \textit{supra} note 58, at 206-07.

\textsuperscript{60} Charges have been made that the majority of NIH funds designated for AIDS "will be assigned to projects that, while bearing on AIDS, are only tenuously connected to the syndrome." \textit{Id.} at 207.

\textsuperscript{61} See, e.g., FETTNER & CHECK, \textit{supra} note 58; Specter, \textit{Gay Groups Mobilize Against AIDS}, Wash. Post, Aug. 2, 1985, at A1, col. 1. In view of the length of time it has taken the federal government to respond to the AIDS crisis, the private sector and local governments have provided the vast majority of funds for AIDS services. For example, the District of Columbia government will spend $889,000 on AIDS programs in fiscal year 1986. Wash. Post, Nov. 16, 1985, at B1, col. 5. Much of these funds will go to the Whitman-Walker Clinic,
C. Local Public Health Laws.

1. An Overview.

Traditionally, responsibility for the control of epidemics has rested with state and local governments. Citizens expect their elected officials to protect them from epidemics. Local public health boards have protected the public health by closing schools, movie theatres, and carnivals. Nuisances detrimental to the public health have been suppressed and quarantines have been imposed.

which has provided social services, including housing, to PWA's and has been funded primarily through donations from the Washington, D.C. gay community. Id.

The District of Columbia statute is typical:

The Council of the District of Columbia is hereby authorized and empowered to promulgate, and the Mayor of the District is hereby authorized and empowered to enforce, all such reasonable rules and regulations as the Council may deem necessary to prevent and control the spread of communicable and preventable diseases in the District of Columbia, including the authority and power to provide for the isolation, quarantine, and the restriction of the movements of persons affected or believed, upon probable cause, to be affected by communicable disease and of persons who are or are believed, upon probable cause, to be carriers of communicable disease.


64. See, e.g., Alden v. State, 20 Ariz. 235, 179 P. 646 (1919).


67. See, e.g., People ex rel. Barmore v. Robertson, 304 Ill. 422, 134 N.E. 815 (1922); Moore v. Armstrong, 149 So. 2d 36 (Fla. 1963); State v. Hutchinson, 246 Ala. 48, 18 So. 2d 723 (1944) (quarantine not imposed but court recognized the state’s right to do so).

An apparently established but seldom used action for damages exists for negligently exposing another to an infection or contagious disease. In Kliegel v. Aitkin, 69 N.W. 67 (Wis. 1896), the plaintiff contracted typhoid fever after working as a servant in the defendant’s home, where the defendant’s daughter was suffering from typhoid fever. The plaintiff did not know that the daughter had a disease, nor that it was contagious. The court allowed damages for loss of time while ill, medical expenses and damages for pain and suffering “endured in the past and which she [the plaintiff] may have to endure in the future.” Id.

In the leading case of *Jew Ho v. Williamson*, the Circuit Court for the Northern District of California invalidated an order by the San Francisco Board of Health that imposed a quarantine upon twelve city blocks containing more than 15,000 people, most of whom were Chinese. The quarantine was ordered because the board claimed it had found nine cases of bubonic plague. Questioning the reasonableness of the quarantine, the court found that the "most dangerous thing that could have been done was to quarantine the whole city, as to the Chinese, as was substantially done in the first instance." In addition, the regulation was applied in an arbitrary manner and primarily affected persons of Chinese ancestry. Quoting extensively from the opinion in *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, the court found the quarantine established by the board to be unreasonable, unnecessary, and applied in a discriminatory manner.

*Jew Ho* firmly established that a public health ordinance cannot be a disguise for discrimination. Beyond that, however, public health boards have been given broad powers to prevent the spread of an infectious disease. The Supreme Court has applied two levels of scrutiny in assessing challenges to state legislation. If the ordinance or statute intrudes upon a "fundamental right" or on the rights of a "suspect class," it is subject to a strict scrutiny test. The government must prove that the legislation used the least intrusive means to further a compelling state interest.

If the legislation interferes with any other interest, the government must show only that the ordinance or statute bears a "rational relation" to the interest being served. Public health ordinances aimed at controlling AIDS which infringe on the individual liberties of gays would not be subject to a strict scrutiny analysis.

68. 103 F. 10 (1900).
69. Id. at 11-13.
70. Id. at 22.
71. "Though the law itself be fair on its face and impartial in appearance, yet, if it is applied with an evil eye and an unequal hand, so as practically to make unjust and illegal discriminations between persons in similar circumstances, material to their rights, the denial of equal justice is still within the prohibition of the constitution." 103 F. at 24, (quoting *Yick Wo v. Hopkins*, 118 U.S. 356 (1886)).
72. Id. at 23.
73. See supra notes 62-67 and accompanying text.
75. See Comment, supra note 40, at 323-24.
2. Protection or Persecution?

Local jurisdictions with high incidences of AIDS have designated the disease a reportable illness, and, in some cases, contributed money for research and education. San Francisco, which along with New York has the highest incidences of AIDS in the country, has taken additional steps to curtail the spread of the disease. After the Director of Public Health unsuccessfully attempted to close San Francisco's commercial sex establishments catering to gay men, the City Attorney and Director of Public Health brought the case to court. The City's complaint alleged that these "bathhouses, theatres, bookstores, sex clubs and other establishments . . . operate for the specific purpose of fostering, promoting, harboring and encouraging multiple sexual contacts between gay males . . . ." The lawsuit was brought on the theory that the risk of contracting AIDS is substantially increased by anonymous, multiple sexual contacts among gay men. As commercial sex establishments cater to patrons seeking this type of activity, the contact will cease or at least decrease, and the spread of AIDS will be curtailed if the establishments are closed. In response to the city's suit, on December 24, 1984, Judge Roy L. Wonder issued a modified preliminary injunction. The order created considerable controversy, as it required the owners of commercial sex establishments to employ monitors to survey the premises every ten minutes in order to ascertain if patrons are engaging in high-risk sexual activity. A proprietor was required to report incidences of

77. See FEIT & ECK, supra note 58, at 212.
78. California ex rel. Agnost v. Owen, No. 830321 (Cal. Supre. Ct., San Francisco). See generally Comment, Preventing the Spread of AIDS by Restricting Sexual Conduct in Gay Bathhouses: A Constitutional Analysis, 15 GOLDEN GATE U.L. REV. 301 (1985). In Washington, D.C. former Air Force Sergeant Leonard Matlovich, who ten years ago challenged the military's ban on gay servicemen, proposed two election initiatives aimed at preventing the spread of AIDS. Greene, Initiatives Aim at AIDS Virus, Wash. Post, Mar. 8, 1985, at B4, col. 1. One would have required gay-oriented businesses to post health warning signs. The other would have resulted in the closing of the city's two gay bathhouses. Id. The initiatives were received with anger and criticism by Washington's gay leaders, who claimed they would have "fail[ed] to curtail the AIDS problem and will open the way for gay rights opponents to use the AIDS epidemic as an excuse to attack gay rights." Wash Post, Mar. 29, 1985, at 13, col. 1. Although Matlovich defended the proposals as putting health needs before political considerations, id., he "temporarily" withdrew them for reasons that were not made public. Wash. Blade, Apr. 5, 1985, at 14, col. 3.
79. Complaint at 18, California ex rel. Agnost v. Owen, supra note 78.
80. Modified Preliminary Injunction, California ex rel. Agnost v. Owen, supra note 78.
81. Id. at 4. The high-risk activities were identified by the San Francisco AIDS Foundation and adopted by the Director of Public Health. Id. The high-risk sexual activities are contrasted with what has become known as "safe sex," part of an education campaign directed at gay men. Safe sex, generally, involves sex without the passing of body fluids. Organizations such as the San Francisco AIDS Foundation, New York's Gay Men's Health Crisis, and the
patrons engaging in the high-risk activities, and was to expel the participants from the establishment. Moreover, the court ordered owners to remove the lower portions of doors from private rooms in order to facilitate the monitoring. While criticized by many as infringing on civil liberties, and by others as not doing enough, the court attempted to protect citizens from AIDS without being overly repressive.

The case has created fear in the San Francisco gay community as an at-
tempt to regulate sexual conduct, and as an infringement on reluctantly recognized civil liberties. Even though commercial sex establishments are often frequented by bisexual or married men, they remain a symbol of political struggle and long-denied gay rights,\textsuperscript{84} closing them to "protect" the public health seems a thin veil for blatant discrimination. Is the next step to enforce sodomy laws against adults who engage in private, consensual homosexual relations?\textsuperscript{85} Fears of the army rounding up known or suspected homosexuals for relocation seem incredible but are not beyond the realm of possibility.\textsuperscript{86} Thus far, one result of the case has been to further characterize AIDS as the "gay plague" in the eyes of the public.\textsuperscript{87} Another is that the portrayal of the bathhouse as a "public nuisance"\textsuperscript{88} has put gays on the defensive.

But the fear of AIDS has moved beyond big city gay subculture and has steadily invaded American society leaving few areas of life untouched. Typifying the hysteria of the summer of 1985, the cover of a national newsmagazine depicted two children holding posters outside a school in Queens, N.Y. The signs read "Parents and Children Against AIDS" and "No AIDS insurance. See, e.g., Byron, Insurers Plan to Flex Muscle, Wash. Blade, Sep. 27, 1985, at 1, col. 1.

84. Prior to 1969, few gay men chose to openly reveal their sexual orientation. In 1969, the Stonewall, a gay discotheque in New York City, was raided by police. The riots that ensued marked the beginning of the gay rights movement and resulted in gays "coming out of the closet." FETTNER & CHECK, supra note 57, at 255-56. "Represse[d] socially, sexually, and psychologically, the gays ... set about creating their own sexual revolution ...." Id. at 226.

85. See, e.g., Comment, supra note 40. But cf. Chase, supra note 43 (Dr. Silverman, who wore a bullet-proof vest following death threats, says he would have been more inclined to close the bathhouses if they had been heterosexual businesses. But homosexual bathhouses are 'symbolic' of an oppressed group, he says").

86. But cf. Russell, Texas Health Chief Seeks Quarantine in AIDS Cases, Wash. Post, Oct. 23, 1985, at A2, col. 5; see also Restak, Worry About Survival of Society First; Then AIDS Victims' Rights, Wash. Post, Sep. 8, 1985, at C1, col. 3; It All Depends on Your Definition of Panic-Mongering, 12 STUDENT L. 6 (1984). During World War II, second generation American citizens of Japanese ancestry (Nisei) were moved to relocation camps in the Western United States for national security reasons. See, e.g., Korematsu v. United States, 323 U.S. 214 (1944). The Supreme Court upheld the government's decision even though citizens of German and Italian descent, who were clearly as much a threat to the nation's security as the Nisei—if not as easily identifiable —were left at liberty.


88. Prosser defines public nuisance as "a catch-all criminal offense, consisting of an interference with the rights of the community at large, which may include anything from the destruction of a highway to a public gaming-house or indecent exposure. As in the case of other crimes the normal remedy is in the hands of the state." W. PROSSER, LAW OF TORTS § 86 (4th ed. 1971). See also id. §§ 87-88.
Children in District 27.\textsuperscript{89} Guidelines have been issued not only for hospitals but for general occupational situations.\textsuperscript{90} Doctors, legislators, lawyers, gays and parents have all debated the laws that are or may be needed to protect the public from AIDS.\textsuperscript{91}

Are laws needed at all to deter people from engaging in high-risk sexual activity? While the threat of a fine or a jail sentence is arguably a deterrent, the fear of contracting a disease known to be deadly and incurable is ostensibly greater.\textsuperscript{92} AIDS has received wide media attention, with articles appearing in national and local newspapers almost everyday. Clearly people in high risk groups are aware of the danger.\textsuperscript{93} People who increase their risk for AIDS by engaging in unsafe sexual activities do so for the same reason that people smoke cigarettes or ride motorcycles without helmets; they are the ones who are sure "it won't happen to them." Statistics have shown that high-risk sexual activity between gay men is decreasing,\textsuperscript{94} yet the AIDS mortality rate is staggering. Government, charged with protecting the public from AIDS, must do so without using the epidemic as an excuse to disregard individual liberties.\textsuperscript{95}

\textsuperscript{89} The AIDS Conflict, NEWSWEEK, Sep. 23, 1985 at 16. A photograph on page 19 shows a child in a makeshift coffin with a poster reading: "Is this the city's next idea for our kids?" Most school boards by now have issued a formal policy on school children with AIDS. Many of these follow the CDC guidelines, which, with some exceptions, allow students with AIDS to attend school. Wash. Post, Sep. 19, 1985, at D7, col. 5. \textit{But cf.} Sargent, \textit{Separate D.C. Classes Set for Child Exposed to AIDS}, Wash. Post, Sep. 6, 1985, at C1, col. 2.


\textsuperscript{91} \textit{See Restak, Worry About Survival of Society First; Then AIDS Victim's Rights}, Wash. Post, Sep. 8, 1985, at C1, col. 3. Dr. Restak, a neurologist, referring to quarantines, stated that "what some are describing as 'discrimination' and 'segregation' has a long and not inglorious history in medicine." He chooses to ignore the fact that there is a difference between diseases such as smallpox and typhoid, which were easily transmitted, and AIDS, which, by the overwhelming weight of evidence, is not. \textit{See Rovner, How the Virus Spreads}, Wash. Post, Sep. 4, 1985, at 15, col. 1 (Health Supp.).

\textsuperscript{92} \textit{See, e.g., Changes in Sexual Behavior and Fear of AIDS, 1984 THE LANCET 1293 ("[T]he evidence points to a decline in overall sexual activity and also in certain specific activities, a selectivity that might stem from differences in risk of AIDS as perceived by homosexuals")}. \textsuperscript{93} \textit{See Rubinow, supra note 36, at 27; Forstein, supra note 34, at 80.} \textsuperscript{94} \textit{See Specter, Fear of AIDS cited as Syphilis Rate Declines}, Wash. Post, Aug. 10, 1985, at A1, col. 2.

\textsuperscript{95} \textit{See Coller, AIDS and Civil Liberties,} N.Y. Times, June 26, 1983, 2, (Long Island) at 20, col. 1 (Late City Final Ed.). Coller suggests that the sophistication of society is measured by how much (little) it interferes with individual liberties when it attempts to control an epidemic. \textit{See also Kirby, AIDS Legislation—Turning Up the Heat}, National Conference on AIDS, Melbourne, Australia, Nov. 16, 1985. Justice Kirby, after reviewing Australia's experiences with epidemics, discusses the pro's and con's of various legislative responses to the problem of AIDS in Australia, and supports a reasoned, rational and non-discriminatory approach.
IV. CONCLUSION

Despite rapid advances in modern medicine, little has changed since biblical times in the way society confronts an epidemic. The response has been to isolate infectious persons from society until they either recover or die.\(^96\) History has shown, however, that segregation has not always been effective nor necessary. Leprosy, the classic example, is now known to be less contagious than many other diseases, making the leper camp seem a drastic measure.\(^97\) There is no reliable evidence to suggest that AIDS is transmitted other than by exchange of body fluids, such as through sexual contact or by blood transfusion. There is, therefore, no need for isolation. Until more is known about AIDS, educating high-risk groups will help prevent the disease from spreading, and educating the general public will alleviate fears and reduce the AIDS stigma.\(^98\)

Despite the oft-repeated statement from the Department of Health and Human Services that AIDS is the number one health priority in the United States, the perception by those afflicted with the disease and those in high-risk groups, is that the federal government is not doing enough.\(^99\) While the responsibility to protect the public health is in the hands of state and local governments, the amount of time it takes to develop a vaccine and a cure for the disease largely depends on the federal government. It alone has the financial resources and the best equipped research facilities.

While research is essential to the eventual cure of a disease, history has shown that the control of an epidemic is usually accomplished by an intrusion on civil liberties.\(^100\) Restrictions should only be imposed, however, to the extent that they are clearly necessary to save lives.\(^101\) At the same time, persons at risk for AIDS have a responsibility to minimize their risk. To

---

\(^{96}\) See Morgenstern, supra note 4, at 543.

\(^{97}\) Leper camps still exist in the United States, although lepers are being rehabilitated to function in society. See The School of Social Welfare, supra note 27.

\(^{98}\) Safe-sex guidelines, such as those suggested by AIDS social service organizations such as the San Francisco AIDS Foundation, New York’s Gay Men’s Health Crisis, and the Whitman-Walker Clinic of Washington, D.C., suggest sexual practices for persons in high-risk groups that pose little or no threat of transmitting or contracting the AIDS virus. See supra note 81.

\(^{99}\) “The Secretary of Health and Human Services who declared AIDS health crisis number one was seeking publicity herself and ignoring the crisis,” remarked Congressman Henry Waxman (D-CA) at a Gay Rights National Lobby — Human Rights Campaign Fund Congressional reception. Wash. Blade, Mar. 29, 1985, at 1 col. 1. See also supra notes 54-61 and accompanying text.

\(^{100}\) E.g., reporting requirements in connection with research are essential if the medical community is to effectively treat a disease and develop a cure. See supra notes 38-56 and accompanying text.

\(^{101}\) See supra notes 68-72 and accompanying text.
engage in or condone unsafe sexual activity in the midst of an epidemic, under the guise of personal liberties, is to ignore the severity of AIDS. Those in high-risk groups have a responsibility, not only to themselves, but to society.

At present, AIDS is perceived by the public as (and to a lesser extent is in fact) generally confined to certain identifiable population groups. Public antipathy towards these groups notwithstanding, a humane society should recognize that AIDS is a disease and not a form of divine judgment. Government has an obligation to protect the health of all citizens.

Steven J. Stone
APPENDIX A

An ordinance amending Chapter III of the Los Angeles Municipal Code by adding Article 5.8 thereto prohibiting discrimination in employment, housing, medical and dental services, business establishments, city facilities, city services and other public accommodations on the basis of the fact that the person discriminated against has the medical condition Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (AIDS) or any condition related thereto.

THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS

Section 1. Chapter III of the Los Angeles Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding Article 5.8 thereto to read as follows:

ARTICLE 5.8

PROHIBITION AGAINST DISCRIMINATION BASED ON A PERSON SUFFERING FROM THE MEDICAL CONDITION AIDS, OR ANY MEDICAL SIGNS OR SYMPTOMS RELATED THERETO, OR ANY PERCEPTION THAT A PERSON IS SUFFERING FROM THE MEDICAL CONDITION AIDS WHETHER REAL OR IMAGINARY

SEC. 45.80. STATEMENT OF POLICY:

After public hearings and receipt of testimony, the City Council finds and declares:

That the medical condition described as Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome and commonly known as AIDS is a deadly disease which has the potential to affect every segment of our City's population.

That AIDS was first recognized in 1981 by the Federal Center for Disease Control based on the study of a pattern of unusual illnesses among young, single men reported by the medical center associated with UCLA within our City.

That AIDS in the opinion of the scientific and medical community is caused by a virus, known as HTLV-III or LAV, which attacks and cripples the body's immune system, thereby leaving the body vulnerable to opportunistic infections.

That a person afflicted with AIDS suffers a variety of virus and/or fungus-caused illnesses which debilitate the body resulting in a high mortality rate within three years after diagnosis.

That the spread of the virus has occurred through the exchange of bodily fluids, i.e. blood, blood by-products, or semen, between individuals.

That no evidence exists to indicate the spread of the virus by casual contact.

That medical studies of family groups in which one or more persons have [sic] been diagnosed with AIDS show no spread of the virus other than through sexual intimacy or through the exchange of blood (mother to fetus).

That the virus can thrive only in favorable conditions, and cannot exist for a significant period of time outside the body, and can be protected against by the application of regular practices of hygiene, such as the use of chlorine in swimming pools or spas and the use of household bleach when washing garments or cleaning contaminated surfaces.

That the public health danger represented by the virus and its subsequent manifestation as AIDS is caused by the lengthy incubation period during which period an apparently healthy individual may spread the disease to other persons through the exchange of blood, blood by-products, or semen.

That AIDS while recognized as a national public health emergency has been concentrated in urban areas with our city representing the third highest number of cases reported within a local public health jurisdiction.
That AIDS in the opinion of the scientific and medical community will continue to increase at a high rate within our city for the foreseeable future.

That AIDS by its nature has created a discrete and insular minority of our citizens who are afflicted with a seriously disabling condition whose ultimate outcome is fatal.

That the persons afflicted with AIDS represent a segment of our population particularly victimized due to the nature of the disease and to the present climate of misinformation, ignorance and fear in the general population.

That discrimination against victims of AIDS and AIDS related conditions exists in the City of Los Angeles;

That persons with AIDS or AIDS related conditions are faced with discrimination in employment, housing, medical and dental services, business establishments, city facilities, city services and other public accommodations; That such discrimination cuts across all racial, ethnic and economic lines;

That such discrimination poses a substantial threat to the health, safety and welfare of the community

That existing state and federal restraints on such arbitrary discrimination are inadequate to meet the particular problems of this City.

SEC. 45.81. DEFINITIONS:

The following words and phrases, whenever used in this Article, shall be construed as defined in this section

A. AIDS: shall mean the disease complex which occurs when an important part of the human immune system is destroyed by the action of a virus known as HTLV-III or LAV. Signs and symptoms of this disease complex are manifested in the afflicted person by a series of virus or fungus-caused illnesses of a chronic nature.

B. Condition related thereto: Shall mean any perception that a person is suffering from the medical condition AIDS whether real or imaginary.

C. Business Establishment: shall mean any entity, however organized, which furnishes goods or services to the general public. An otherwise qualifying establishment which has membership requirements is considered to furnish services to the general public if its membership requirements: (a) consist only of payment of fees; (b) consist of requirements under which a substantial portion of the residents of this City could qualify.

D. Employer: Shall mean every person, including any public service corporation and the legal representative of any deceased employer which has any natural person in service.

E. Housing Services: Shall mean services connected with the use or occupancy of a rental unit including but not limited to, utilities (including light, heat, water and telephone), ordinary repairs or replacement, and maintenance, including painting. This term shall also include the provision or elevator service, laundry facilities and privileges, common recreational facilities, janitor service, resident manager, refuse removal, furnishings, food service, parking and any other benefits, privileges or facilities.

f. Rent: Shall mean the consideration, including any bonus, benefits or gratuity, demanded or received by a landlord for or in connection with the use or occupancy of a rental unit, including but not limited to monies demanded or paid for the following: meals where required by the landlord as a condition of the tenancy; parking; furnishings; other housing services of any kind; subletting; or security deposits.

G. Rental Units: Shall mean all dwelling units, efficiency dwelling units, guest rooms, and suites in the City of Los Angeles, as defined in Section 12.03 of this Code, rented or offered for rent for living or dwelling purposes, the land and buildings appurtenant thereto, and all housing services, privileges, furnishings and facilities supplied in connection with the use or occupancy thereof, including garage and parking facilities.

This term shall not include:
1. Housing accommodation which a government unit, agency or authority owns, operates, or manages, and which are specifically exempted from municipal regulation by state or federal law or administrative regulation.

H. Person: Shall mean any natural person, firm, corporation, partnership or other organization, association or group of persons however organized.

SEC. 45.82. EMPLOYMENT:

A. Unlawful Employment Practices.
It shall be an unlawful employment practice for any employer, employment agency or labor organization or any agent or employee thereof to do or attempt to do any of the following:
1. Fail or refuse to hire, or to discharge any person, or otherwise to discriminate against any person with respect to compensation, terms, conditions or privileges of employment on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
2. Limit, segregate or classify employees or applicants for employment in any manner which would deprive or tend to deprive any person of employment opportunities, or adversely affect his or her employment status on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
3. Fail or refuse to refer for employment any person, or otherwise to discriminate against any person on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
4. Fail or refuse to include in its membership or to otherwise discriminate against any person; or to limit, segregate or classify its membership; or to classify or fail or refuse to refer for employment any person in any way which would deprive or tend to deprive such person of employment opportunities, or otherwise adversely affect her or his status as an employee or as an applicant for employment on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
5. Discriminate against any person in admission to, or employment in, any program established to provide apprenticeship or other training or retraining, including any on-the-job training program on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.

B. Bonafide Occupational Qualification not Prohibited; Burden of Proof.
1. Bona Fide Occupational Qualification. Nothing contained in this Section shall be deemed to prohibit selection, rejection or dismissal based upon a bona fide occupational qualification.
2. Burden of Proof. In any action brought under this article, if a party asserts that an otherwise unlawful discriminatory practice is justified as a bona fide occupational qualification, that party shall have the burden of proving: (1) that the discrimination is in fact a necessary result of a bona fide occupational qualification; and (2) that there exists no less discriminatory means of satisfying the occupational qualification.

C. Exceptions.
1. It shall not be an unlawful discriminatory practice for an employer to observe the conditions of a bona fide employee benefit system, provided such systems or plans are not a subterfuge to evade the purposes of this Article; provided further that no such system shall provide an excuse for failure to hire any person.

SEC. 45.83. RENTAL HOUSING

A. Unlawful Rental Housing Practices.
It shall be unlawful for any person having a housing accommodation for rent or
lease, or any authorized agent or employee of such person to or attempt to do any of
the following:
1. Refuse to rent or lease a rental unit, refuse to negotiate for the rental or lease of a
rental unit, evict from a rental unit, or otherwise deny to or withhold a rental unit
from any person on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the
medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
2. Rent or lease a rental unit on less favorable terms, conditions or privileges, or
discriminate in the provision of housing services to any person on the basis (in whole
or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any medi-
cal condition related thereto.
3. Represent to any person that a rental unit is not available for inspection, rental
or lease when such rental unit is, in fact, available on the basis (in whole or in part) of
the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related
thereto.
4. Make, print, publish, or cause to be made, printed, or published any notice,
statement, sign, advertisement, application, or contract with regard to a rental unit
that indicates any preference, limitation, or discrimination with respect to the fact
that a person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.

B. Exceptions.
1. Owner-occupied. Nothing in this Article shall be construed to apply to the
rental or leasing of any housing unit in which the owner or lessor or any member of
his or her family occupies the same living unit in common with the prospective
tenant.
2. Effect on Other Laws. Nothing in this Article shall be deemed to permit any
rental or occupancy of any dwelling unit or commercial space otherwise prohibited
by law.
3. Nothing in this Article shall override any just cause for eviction set forth in the
Rent Stabilization Ordinance. AIDS shall not constitute an unreasonable health
hazard under § 151.09 of the Rent Stabilization Ordinance.

SEC. 45.84. BUSINESS ESTABLISHMENTS:

A. Unlawful Business Practice.
1. Business Practices Generally. It shall be an unlawful business practice for any
person to deny any individual the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services,
facilities, privileges, advantage and accommodation of any business establishment in-
cluding, but not limited to, medical, dental, health care and convalescent services of
any kind whatsoever, on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person
has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.

B. Exceptions. Nothing in this Article shall apply to any blood bank, blood donation
facility, sperm bank, sperm donation facility, organ donation facility, surrogate mother
or surrogate mother facility, or to any like service facility or establishment engaged in
the exchange of products containing elements of blood or sperm.

SEC. 45.85. CITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES:

A. Unlawful Service and Facility Practices.
It shall be an unlawful practice for any person to deny any person the full and equal
enjoyment of, or to impose different terms and conditions on the availability of any of
the following:
1. Use of any City facility or City service on the basis (in whole or in part) of the
fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related
thereto.
2. Any service, program or facility wholly or partially funded or otherwise supported by the City of Los Angeles, on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto. This subsection shall not apply to any facility, service or program which does not receive any assistance from the City of Los Angeles which is not provided to the public generally.

SEC. 45.86. EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS:

A. Unlawful Educational Practices.
   It shall be an unlawful educational practice for any person to do any of the following:
   1. To deny admission, or to impose different terms or conditions on admission, on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.
   2. To deny any individual the full and equal enjoyment of or to impose different terms or conditions upon the availability of, any facility owned or operated by or any service or program offered by an educational institution on the basis (in whole or in part) of the fact that such person has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.

B. Exceptions.
   It shall not be an unlawful discriminatory practice for a religious or denominational institution to limit admission, or give other preference to applicants of the same religion.

SEC. 45.87. ADVERTISING:

   It shall be unlawful for any person to make, print, publish, advertise or disseminate in any way any notice, statement or advertisement with respect to any of the acts mentioned in this Article, which indicates an intent to engage in any unlawful practice as set forth in this Article.

SEC. 45.88. SUBTERFUGE:

   It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice to do any of the acts mentioned in this Article for any reason which would not have been asserted, wholly or partially, but for the fact that the person against whom such assertions are made has the medical condition AIDS or any condition related thereto.

SEC. 45.89. LIABILITY:

   Any person who violates any of the provisions of this Article or who aids in the violation of any provisions of this Article shall be liable for, and the court shall award to the individual whose rights are violated, actual damages, costs, and attorney's fees. In addition, the court may award punitive damages in a proper case.

SEC. 45.90. ENFORCEMENT:

   A. Civil Action
      Any aggrieved person may enforce the provisions of this Article by means of a civil action.

   B. Injunction.
      1. Any person who commits, or proposes to commit, an act in violation of this Article may be enjoined therefrom by an court of competent jurisdiction.
      2. Action for injunction under this subsection may be brought by any aggrieved
person, by the City Attorney, or by any person or entity which will fairly and ade-
quately represent the interests of the protected class.

C. Non-Exclusive
Nothing in this Article shall preclude any aggrieved person from seeking any other
remedy provided by law.

D. Exception
Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the contrary, no criminal penalties
shall attach for any violation of the provision of this Article.

SEC. 45.91. LIMITATION ON ACTION:

Actions under this Article must be filed within one year of the alleged discrimina-
tory acts.

SEC. 45.92. SEVERABILITY

If any part or provision of this Article or the application thereof to any person or
circumstance, is held invalid, the remainder of the Article, including the application
of such part or provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be effected
thereby and shall continue in full force and effect. To this end, provisions of this
Article are severable.

SEC. 45.93. EXCEPTIONS:

A. No part of this Article shall apply to any bona fide religious organization.
B. No part of this Article shall apply where a course of conduct is pursued which
is necessary to protect the health or safety of the general public.
1. Burden of Proof. In any action brought under this Article, if a party asserts that
an otherwise unlawful discriminatory practice is justified as necessary to protect the
health or safety of the general public, that party shall have the burden of proving;
(1) that the discrimination is in fact a necessary result of a necessary course of con-
duct pursued to protect the health or safety of the general public; and (2) that there
exists no less discriminatory means of satisfying the necessary protection of the
health or safety of the general public.

SEC. 2. URGENCY CLAUSE

The City Council finds and declares that this ordinance is required for the immediate protec-
tion of the public peace, health and safety for the following reasons: This ordinance will pre-
vent unlawful discrimination against persons with AIDS or AIDS related conditions in
employment, housing, business establishments and other public accommodations. Such dis-
rimination has denied these persons the right to maintain lawful employment, enjoy sanitary
housing conditions, seek medical, dental, convalescent and other business services, and have
equal access to public accommodations, thereby creating conditions inimical to the public
health and safety. Therefore, this ordinance shall become effective upon publication pursuant
to Section 281 of the Los Angeles City Charter.