

1991

Index Volume 40 - Comments and Notes

Catholic University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview>

Recommended Citation

Catholic University Law Review, *Index Volume 40 - Comments and Notes*, 40 Cath. U. L. Rev. 1003 (1991).
Available at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview/vol40/iss4/12>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by CUA Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Catholic University Law Review by an authorized editor of CUA Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact edinger@law.edu.

	PAGE
JOHN POLANIN, JR. <i>The "Finder's" Exception from Federal Broker-Dealer Registration</i>	787
ROBERT H. ROSENBLUM <i>An Issuer's Duty Under Rule 10b-5 to Correct and Update Materially Misleading Statements</i>	289
JUDY BECKNER SLOAN <i>Appellate Jurisdiction of Interlocutory Appeals in Bankruptcy 28 U.S.C. Section 158(d): A Case of Lapsus Calami</i>	265
CHIEF JUDGE LOREN A. SMITH <i>Foreword</i>	509
THE HONORABLE STROM THURMOND <i>Introduction</i>	513
PAUL R. VERKUIL <i>A Proposal to Resolve Interbranch Disputes on the Practice Field</i>	839

COMMENTS AND NOTES

ABANDONING THE COMPELLING INTEREST TEST IN FREE EXERCISE CASES: <i>EMPLOYMENT DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES V. SMITH</i>	929
AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990: SOCIAL INTEGRATION THROUGH EMPLOYMENT	189
<i>CALIFORNIA V. FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION</i> : CONTINUING THE STRUGGLE BETWEEN SECTIONS 151 AND 152 OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT .	893
DEFENDERS OF THE CORPORATE BASTION IN THE <i>REVLON</i> ZONE: <i>PARAMOUNT COMMUNICATIONS, INC. V. TIME INC.</i>	155
<i>HOLLAND V. ILLINOIS</i> : A SIXTH AMENDMENT ATTACK ON THE USE OF DISCRIMINATORY PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES	651
<i>JAMES V. ILLINOIS</i> —THE IMPEACHMENT EXCEPTION TO THE EXCLUSIONARY RULE: HERE TODAY	681
<i>LOVELADIES HARBOR, INC. V. UNITED STATES</i> : THE CLAIMS COURT TAKES A WRONG TURN—TOWARD A HIGHER STANDARD OF REVIEW	753
<i>LUJAN V. NATIONAL WILDLIFE FEDERATION</i> : THE SUPREME COURT TIGHTENS THE REINS ON STANDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS	443
OUT LIKE YESTERDAY'S GARBAGE: MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE AND THE NEED FOR CONGRESSIONAL ACTION	851
SHOULDN'T THE CONSTITUTION BE COLORBLIND? <i>METRO BROADCASTING, INC. V. FCC</i> TRANSMITS A SURPRISING MESSAGE ON RACIAL PREFERENCES	403
<i>SKINNER V. RAILWAY LABOR EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION</i> AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT WARRANT-PROBABLE CAUSE REQUIREMENT: SPECIAL NEEDS EXCEPTION CREATING A SHAKEDOWN INSPECTION?	117
<i>SOUTH CAROLINA V. GATHERS</i> : PROHIBITING THE USE OF VICTIM-RELATED INFORMATION IN CAPITAL PUNISHMENT PROCEEDINGS	215
TELEVISED JUSTICE: TOWARD A NEW DEFINITION OF CONFRONTATION UNDER <i>MARYLAND V. CRAIG</i>	967
TO BE OR <i>NOTE</i> TO BE A SECURITY: <i>REVES V. ERNST & YOUNG</i>	711
<i>WALTON V. ARIZONA</i> : THE CONFUSION SURROUNDING THE SENTENCING OF CAPITAL DEFENDANTS CONTINUES	475