

# Catholic University Law Review

---

Volume 40  
Issue 3 *Spring 1991*

Article 1

---

1991

## Table of Contents (v.40 no.3)

Catholic University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview>

---

### Recommended Citation

Catholic University Law Review, *Table of Contents (v.40 no.3)*, 40 Cath. U. L. Rev. (1991).  
Available at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview/vol40/iss3/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by CUA Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Catholic University Law Review by an authorized editor of CUA Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [edinger@law.edu](mailto:edinger@law.edu).

# CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

---

---

VOLUME 40

NUMBER 3

SPRING 1991

---

---

## *Special Issue* United States Claims Court Symposium

Foreword <i>Chief Judge Loren A. Smith</i> .....	509
Introduction <i>The Honorable Strom Thurmond</i> .....	513
<b>Articles</b>	
Claims Court at the Crossroads <i>Richard H. Fallon, Jr.</i> .....	517
Claims for Money in the Claims Court <i>David M. Cohen</i> .....	533
Regulatory Takings in the United States Claims Court: Adjusting the Burdens That in Fairness and Equity Ought to Be Borne by Society as a Whole <i>Roger J. Marzulla</i> .....	549
<i>Nancie G. Marzulla</i>	
Defining Tucker Act Jurisdiction After <i>Bowen v. Massachusetts</i> <i>Michael F. Noone, Jr.</i> .....	571
<i>Urban A. Lester</i>	
The Treatment of Implied-in-Law and Implied-in-Fact Contracts and Promissory Estoppel in the United States Claims Court <i>Willard L. Boyd III</i> .....	605
<i>Robert K. Huffman</i>	
<b>Commentaries</b>	
The Federal Courts Study Committee on Claims Court Tax Jurisdiction <i>Martin D. Ginsburg</i> .....	631
The Federal Courts Study Committee Has Not Made the Case for Its Proposed Overhaul of the Tax Litigation Process <i>James P. Holden</i> .....	639

## Book Review

On the Law of Nations <i>William F. Fox, Jr.</i> .....	645
---	-----

## Notes

<i>Holland v. Illinois</i> : A Sixth Amendment Attack on the Use of Discriminatory Peremptory Challenges <i>Alice Biedenbender</i> .....	651
<i>James v. Illinois</i> —The Impeachment Exception to the Exclusionary Rule: Here Today . . . <i>Brandon Edward Mary</i> .....	681
To Be or <i>Note</i> to Be a Security: <i>Reves v. Ernst &amp; Young</i> <i>Scott D. Museles</i> .....	711
<i>Loveladies Harbor, Inc. v. United States</i> : The Claims Court Takes a Wrong Turn—Toward a Higher Standard of Review <i>Seth E. Zuckerman</i> .....	753