

1966

## Introduction

Catholic University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview>

---

### Recommended Citation

Catholic University Law Review, *Introduction*, 16 Cath. U. L. Rev. (1967).

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview/vol16/iss1/1>

This Introduction is brought to you for free and open access by CUA Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Catholic University Law Review by an authorized editor of CUA Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [edinger@law.edu](mailto:edinger@law.edu).

## VOLUME 16 • NUMBER 1

DURING THE SIXTY YEARS that Catholic University has had a Law School and the sixteen years that we have had a Law Review, no year has held such potential for advancement as the year which is just ahead.

We have left our crowded, crumbling quarters on 18th Street, N.W. and are now located in a new building on the campus of the University.

Of more importance to the Review than the new facilities which the move has made possible, is our expansion from a semi-annual to a quarterly publication. With the aid and encouragement of the University, we embark on our new venture.

We of the Board of Editors are pleased to initiate this expansion by printing three lead articles which are not only written by distinguished legal personalities, but which we consider well written even by their high standards.

Professor Pasley, who left the wilds of Ithaca to summer in Washington, writes a provocative piece on the "Law School in the University." His systematic treatment of the history of legal education is so thoroughly done and so uniquely appropriate to our present circumstances that we are confident that it will long stand as an important contribution to this Review.

Justice Traynor examines the expanding due process clause in language that reminds this writer of the magnificent prose of Winston Churchill. He points out the difficulties inherent in regulating criminal procedure by constitutional mandates, especially when the Supreme Court compounds the problem by its deliberate vagueness.

Judge Holtzoff joins the ranks of many eminent jurists when he chooses to grapple with the elusive problem of the "vitality of the common law." We are certain that many of our readers will find it interesting and informative

to view the evolution of the common law through the eyes of this controversial sitting judge. We of the Review thank Judge Holtzoff not only for his article, but also for addressing the annual Law Review Banquet.

Professor Rohner assumes a perilous position somewhere between Senator Dodd and the National Rifle Association when he traces the "Right to Bear Arms" from the colonial musket to the modern machine gun. Extremely comprehensive research reveals that the second amendment was a constitutional phenomenon and discloses the fact that gun legislation faces more serious obstacles from state constitutions than from the second amendment.

As the last *id.* is removed from quasi-statutory material and the editors contemplate insuring the copy for its journey to Montpelier, Vermont, wherever that is, we enthusiastically expect Volume XVI to be a memorable edition of the Catholic University Law Review.

—THE EDITORS