

APPENDIX A

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
CLAIM TYPE: AGE DISCRIMINATION								
<i>Trickey v. Kaman Indus. Techs. Corp.</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135386 (E.D. Mo. 2011)	500,000	160,000	3.13					3.13
CLAIM TYPE: ASSAULT/BATTERY								
<i>Carey v. Johnson</i> , No. M2002-00911-COA-CV, 2003 WL 21439039 (Tenn. Ct. App. June 23, 2003)	15,000	4,860	3.09					3.09
<i>Fresh v. Entm't. U.S.A. of Tenn.</i> , 340 F. Supp. 2d 851 (W.D. Tenn. 2003)	2,161,540	175,000	12.35		717,610	175,000	4.10	4.10
<i>Greene v. Byrd</i> , 897 So. 2d 1107 (Ala. Civ. App. 2003)	30,000	2,468	12.16	20,000	20,000	2,468	8.10	8.10
<i>Harrelson v. R.J.</i> , 882 So. 2d 317 (Ala. 2003)	75,000	15,000	5					5
<i>Maskantz v. Hayes</i> , 798 N.Y.S.2d 345 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2004)	250,000	10,000	25		100,000	10,000	10	10
<i>Rhyne v. K-Mart Corp.</i> , 594 S.E.2d 1 (N.C. 2004)	11,500,000	8,255	1,393.10	250,000	250,000	8,255	30.28	30.28
<i>Brooks v. Youngert</i> , No. 4:03 CV 137, 2006 WL 3759810 (W.D. Mich. Dec. 19, 2006)	6,000	4	1,500					1,500
<i>Denman v. Sanders</i> , No. 1-CA-CV-06-0486, 2006 WL 452018 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 19, 2006)	600,000	250,000	2.40		200,000	50,000	4	4
<i>Baldwin v. McConnell</i> , 643 S.E.2d 703 (Va. 2007)	100,000	240,000	0.42	10,000	100,000	100,000	1	1
<i>Burden v. May</i> , No. 1-CA-CV-06-0486, 2007 WL 5447050 (Ariz. Ct. App. Dec. 4, 2007)	25,000	1,570	15.92					15.92
<i>Hicks v. Archie</i> , No. 2006-CA-000811-MR, 2008 WL 1756375 (Ky. Ct. App. Mar. 11, 2009)	38,750	1,315	29.47					29.47
<i>Hughston v. New Home Media</i> , 552 F. Supp. 2d 559 (E.D. Va. 2008)	150,000	40,000	3.75					3.75
<i>Faieta v. World Harvest Church</i> , 891 N.E.2d 370 (Ohio 2008)	5,000,000	764,235	6.54	1,528,470	1,528,470	764,235	2	2
<i>Shannon v. Sasseville</i> , 684 F. Supp. 2d 169 (D. Me. 2010)	1,100,000	150,000	7.33					7.33
CLAIM TYPE: AUTO. STAY								
<i>Jones v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg. Inc.</i> , 2012 Bankr. LEXIS 1450 (E.D. La. 2012)	0	110,824.96	0		3,171,154	110,824.96	28.61	28.61
<i>Check Into Cash of Wash. v. Snowden</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 22338 (W.D. Wash. 2012)	N/A <sup>1</sup>							
CLAIM TYPE: BANKRUPTCY/CREDITOR								
<i>In re Wallace</i> , 2011 Bankr. LEXIS 1168 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 2011)	15,000	10,950	1.37					1.37
CLAIM TYPE: BREACH OF CONTRACT								
<i>Westgate Resorts v. Adel</i> , 285 P.3d 1219 (Utah 2012)	7,242	1,000,000	0.01		Remanded			
CLAIM TYPE: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY								
<i>Asa-Brandt, Inc. v. ADM Investor Serv. Inc.</i> , 344 F.3d 738 (8th Cir. 2003)	1,295,000	744,000	1.74					1.74
<i>Smith v. Fairfax Realty, Inc.</i> , 82 P.3d 1064 (Utah 2003)	5,500,000	1,007,221	5.46					5.46

1. No compensatory or punitive damages values provided in opinion.

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Alberts v. Franklin</i> , No. D040310, 2004 WL 1345078 (Cal. Ct. App. June 16, 2004)	186,000	43,950	4.23					4.34
<i>Marie Deonier &amp; Assocs. v. Paul Revere Life Ins.</i> , 323 Mont. 387 (Mont. 2004)	1,000,000	200,000	5					5
<i>Bright v. Addison</i> , 171 S.W.3d 588 (Tex. App. 2005)	4,500,000	3,656,395	1.23					1.23
<i>Parenti v. Moberg</i> , 2007 WL 1540952 (Tex. App. 2007)	300,000	60,000	5.00	200,000	200,000	60,000	3.33	3.33
<i>Greenbrook@Cerritos v. Del Ray Builders</i> , 2008 WL 4078768 (Cal. Ct. App. 2008)	300,000	163,115	1.84					1.84
<i>Powell v. Bank of Am.</i> , 2008 WL 6506397 (D.S.C. 2008)	1,583,000	205,735	7.69					7.69
<i>Security Title Agency v. Pope</i> , 200 P.3d 977 (Ariz. Ct. App. 2008)	35,000,000	6,100,290	5.74	0	6,100,290	6,100,290	1	1
<i>Line v. Ventura</i> , 38 So. 3d 1 (Ala. 2009)	550,000	200,000	2.75					2.75
<i>Nat'l Credit Union Bd. v. Null</i> , 2009 WL 1323037 (M.D. Pa. 2009)	2,631,715	1,315,860	2					2
<i>San Fernando Lenders v. Compass USA</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82124 (D. Nev. 2011)	5,100,000	2,558,095	1.99					1.99
<i>Pt. San Diego Residential v. W.W.I. Prop.</i> , 2011 Cal. App. LEXIS 197 (Cal. Ct. App. 2011)	6,657,945	2,036,420	2.29					2.29
<i>Young v. Becker &amp; Poliakoff</i> , 88 So. 3d 1002 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2012)	2,000,000	394,000	5.08		2,000,000	394,000	5.08	5.08
CLAIM TYPE: BREACH OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING								
<i>Nardelli v. Metro Grp. Prop. &amp; Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , 277 P.3d 789 (Ariz. App. 2012)	55,000,000	155,000	354.84	620,000	155,000	155,000	1	1
CLAIM TYPE: BREACH OF INSURANCE CONTRACT								
<i>Hull v. Ability Ins.</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 173487 (D. Mont. 2012)	32,000,000	2,250,000	14.22		10,000,000	2,250,000	4.44	4.44
<i>F.C. Bloxom Co. v. Fireman's Fund Ins.</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 170543 (W.D. Wash. 2012)	113,811	86,189	1.32		113,811	86,189	1.32	1.32
<i>Kimble v. Land Concepts Inc.</i> , 345 Wis. 2d 60 (Wis. Ct. App. 2012)	1,000,000	50,000	20	29,738.49 <sup>2</sup>	1,000,000	29,738	33.63	33.63
CLAIM TYPE: BUSINESS TORTS								
<i>Collins Entm't Corp. v. Coats and Coats Rental Amusement</i> , 584 S.E.2d 120 (S.C. Ct. App. 2003)	1,569,013	157,449	9.97					9.97
<i>Life Plus Intern. v. Brown</i> , 317 F.3d 799 (8th Cir. 2003)	180,000	65,000	2.77					2.77
<i>S. Pine Elec. Co-op v. Burch</i> , 878 So. 2d 1120 (Ala. 2003)	75,000	19,000	3.95					3.95
<i>TVT Records v. Island Def Jam</i> , 279 F. Supp. 2d 413 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)	108,000,000	23,500,000	4.60		29,125,000	23,500,000	1.24	1.24
<i>Interclaim Holdings, Ltd. V. Ness</i> , 2004 WL 725287 (N.D. Ill. 2004)	27,700,000	8,300,000	3.34					3.34
<i>Fabri v. United Techs.</i> , 387 F.3d 109 (2d Cir. 2004)	500,000	1	500,000		Remanded			
<i>Conseco Fin. Svcs. v. N. Am. Mortg.</i> , 381 F.3d 811 (8th Cir. 2004)	18,000,000	3,500,000	5.14		7,000,000	3,500,000	2	2
<i>Clear Channel Outdoor v. ADS</i> , 2004 WL 2181793 (Cal. Ct. App. 2004)	600,000	365,435	1.64		178,120	108,610	1.64	1.64

2. Court reduced the compensatory damages award to \$29,738.49.

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Eden Elec., Ltd. v. Amana Co.</i> , 370 F.3d 824 (8th Cir. 2004)	17,875,000	2,100,000	8.51	10,000,000	10,000,000	2,100,000	4.76	4.76
<i>Rain Bird Corp. v. Nat'l Pump</i> , 144 F.App'x. 373 (5th Cir. 2005)	500,000	2,870,000	0.17					0.17
<i>Casciola v. F.S. Air Serv., Inc.</i> , 120 P.3d 1059 (Alaska 2005)	300,000	30,000	10					10
<i>Diesel Mach. Inc., v. B.R. Lee Indus.</i> , 418 F.3d 820 (8th Cir. 2005)	4,335,000	665,000	6.52	2,660,000	2,660,000	665,000	4	4
<i>Env't Energy Partners Inc., v. Siemens Bldg. Tech.</i> , 178 S.W.3d 691 (Mo. Ct. App. 2005)	500,000	127,546	3.92					3.92
<i>MedValUSA Health v. MemberWorks, Inc.</i> , 872 A.2d 423 (Conn. 2005)	5,000,000	1	5,000,000					5,000,000
<i>Johnson v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 37 Cal. Rptr. 3d 283 (Cal. Ct. App. 2005)	10,000,000	17,800	561.80	55,435	175,000	17,800	9.83	9.83
<i>Rosenberg, Minc &amp; Armstrong v. Mallilo &amp; Grossman</i> , 798 N.Y.S.2d 322 (N.Y. Sup. 2005)	343,750	10,500	32.74		105,000	10,500	10	10
<i>Sunlight Saunas Inc., v. Sundance Sauna, Inc.</i> , 2006 WL 3021109 (D. Kan. 2006)	150,000	2,500	60		50,000	2,500	20	20
<i>Hadelman v. Deluca</i> , 876 A.2d 1136 (Conn. 2006)	300,000	1	300,000					300,000
<i>Int'l Union of Operating Eng'rs v. Lowe Excavating, Co.</i> , 870 N.E.2d 303 (Ill. 2006)	325,000	4,680	69.44	525,000	50,000	4,680	10.68	10.68
<i>Metron Tech. Distrib. Corp., v. Discreet Indus. Corp.</i> , 189 F. App'x 3 (2d Cir. 2006)	3,600,000	6,300,000	0.57					0.57
<i>CGB Therapy v. RHA Health Servs.</i> , 499 F.3d 184 (3d Cir. 2007)	30,000,000	109,000	275.23	2,000,000	750,000	109,000	6.88	6.88
<i>Jan Marini Skin Research, Inc. v. Allure Cosmetic USA Inc.</i> , 2007 WL 1508686 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007)	1,000,000	59,000	16.95		295,000	59,000	5	5
<i>D.L. Anderson's Lakeside Co. Inc. v. Anderson</i> , 757 N.W.2d 803 (Wis. 2008)	180,000	90,000	2					2
<i>Parexel Int'l Corp. v. Feliciano</i> , 2008 WL 5101642 (E.D. Pa. 2008)	1,700,000	94,000	18.09					18.09
<i>Adidas Am., Inc. v. Payless Shoesource, Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 4279812 (D. Or. 2008)	137,000,000	30,610,000	4.48		15,000,000	30,610,000	0.49	0.49
<i>Cummings Inc. v. BP Prods. N. Am., Inc.</i> , 648 F. Supp. 2d 969 (M.D. Tenn. 2009)	2,620,000	535,485	4.89		535,485	535,485	1	1
<i>Farm Bureau Life Ins. Co. v. Am. Nat'l Ins. Co.</i> , 2009 WL 361267 (D. Utah 2009)	62,727,000	3,606,215	17.39		3,606,215	3,606,215	1	1
<i>Ice Corp. v. Hamilton Sundstrand Corp.</i> , 615 F. Supp. 2d 1266 (D. Kan. 2009)	9,590,600	4,500,000	2.13					2.13
<i>NanodetexCorp. v. Defiant Tech.</i> , 349 F. App'x. 312 (10th Cir. 2009)	1,000,000	1,000,000	1					1
<i>S. Union Co. v. Irvin</i> , 563 F.3d 788 (9th Cir. 2009)	60,000,000	395,000	151.90	4,000,000	1,185,000	395,000	3	3
<i>Wieber v. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc.</i> , 220 P.3d 68 (Or. Ct. App. 2009)	7,000,000	350,000	20		1,050,000	350,000	3	3
<i>Am. Chem. Soc. v. Leadscope</i> , 2010 WL 2396544 (Ohio Ct. App. 2010)	2,250,000	750,000	3					3

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>James Crystal Licenses LLC v. Infinity Radio Inc.</i> , 43 So. 3d 68 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2010)	6,900,000	126,510	54.54		Vacated	126,510		
<i>Jenkins v. Few</i> , 2010 WL 5071603 (S.C. Ct. App. 2010)	100,00	28,000	3.57					3.57
<i>Noble Biomaterials v. Argentum Med., LLC.</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 109075 (M.D. Pa. 2011)	2,250,000	1,000,000	2.25					2.25
<i>Guidance Endodontics, LLC v. Dentsply Int'l, Inc.</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65352 (D.N.M. 2011)	40,000,000	4,280,000	9.35		4,080,001	4,080,001	1	1
<i>Holiday Inn v. Hotel Assocs.</i> , 2011 Ark. App. 147 (Ark. Ct. App. 2011)	12,000,000	13,000,000	0.92	1,000,000	12,000,000	10,056,000	1.19	1.19
<i>Wooley v. Lucksinger</i> , 61 So. 3d 507 (La. 2011)	65,000,000	52,400,000	1.24					1.24
<i>Innovative Tech. v. Advanced Mgmt. Tech.</i> , 2011 Ohio 5544 (Ohio Ct. App. 2011)	17,000,000	5,752,894	2.96	5,832,974	5,832,974	1,970,599	2.96	2.96
<i>Beijing Tong Ren Tang v. TRT USA</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135417 (N.D. Cal. 2011)	750,000	2,278,341	0.33		60,000	214,391	0.28	0.28
<i>Peterson v. Stewart</i> , 2012 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 1212 (Cal. Ct. App. 2012)	300,000	510,500	0.59	120,000	120,000	510,500	0.24	0.24
<i>Exposure Graphics v. Rapid Mounting Display</i> , 2012 Nev. Unpub. LEXIS 454 (Nev. 2012)	252,199	120,095	2.10		125,000	120,095	1.04	1.04
<i>Carlton Energy Grp. v. Phillips</i> , 2012 Tex. App. LEXIS (Tex. App. 2012)	66,500,000	8,500,000	7.82	31,160,000	66,500,000	8,500,000	7.82	7.82
<i>Engineered Cooling Servs. v. Star Serv.</i> , 2012 Ala. Civ. App. LEXIS 219 (Ala. Civ. App. 2012)	30,000	1	30,000		30,000	1	30,000	30,000
<i>McGrath v. MICO Inc.</i> , 2012 Minn. App. Unpub. Lexis 1162 (Minn. Ct. App. 2012)	8,726,000	4,408,665	1.98		8,726,000	4,408,665	1.98	1.98
CLAIM TYPE: CIVIL RIGHTS								
<i>Williams v. Kauffman Cnty.</i> , 352 F.3d 994 (5th Cir. 2003)	15,000	100	150					150
<i>DiSorbo v. Hoy</i> , 343 F.3d 172 (2d Cir. 2003)	1,275,000	400,000	3.19		75,000	250,000	0.30	0.30
<i>Lincoln v. Case</i> , 340 F.3d 283 (5th Cir. 2003)	100,000	500	200		50,000	500	110	110
<i>Waits v. City of Chicago</i> , 2003 WL 21310277 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	2,000,000	15,000	133.33		45,000	15,000	3	3
<i>Borne v. Haverhill Golf &amp; Country Club</i> , 791 N.E.2d 903 (Mass. App. Ct. 2003)	230,000	64,000	3.59					3.59
<i>Stack v. Jaffe</i> , 306 F. Supp. 2d 137 (D. Conn 2003)	200,000	2,000	100		25,000	2,000	12.50	12.50
<i>Bank of Eureka Springs v. Evans</i> , 109 S.W.3d 672 (Ark. 2003)	300,000	100,000	3					3
<i>Tate v. Dragovich</i> , 2003 WL 21978141 (E.D. Pa. 2003)	10,000	1	10,000					10,000
<i>Jones v. Sheahan</i> , 2003 WL 22508171 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	500,000	25,000	20		100,000	25,000	4	4
<i>Brilla v. Petit</i> , 57 F. App'x. 947 (3d Cir. 2003)	100,000	1	100,000	50,000	50,000	1	50,000	50,000
<i>Sherman v. Kasotakis</i> , 314 F. Supp. 2d 843 (N.D. Iowa 2004)	12,500	1	12,500					12,500
<i>United States v. Veal</i> , 365 F. Supp. 2d 1034 (W.D. Mo. 2004)	1,055,000	47,805	22.07					22.07
<i>Dunn v. Vill. of Put-In-Bay, OH</i> , 2004 WL 169788 (N.D. Ohio 2004)	23,423	1,578	14.85					14.85

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Tapalian v. Tusino</i> , 377 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2004)	150,000	58,845	2.55					2.55
<i>Jarrat v. Bach</i> , No. C038336, 2004 WL 2341476 (Cal. Ct. App. Oct. 19, 2004)	22,000	75,000	0.29					0.29
<i>Stamathis v. Flying J Inc.</i> , 389 F.3d 429 (4th Cir. 2004)	350,000	250,000	1.40					1.40
<i>Romanski v. Detroit Entm't, LLC</i> , 428 F.3d 629 (6th Cir. 2005)	875,000	280	3,125		600,000	280	2,142.86	2,142.86
<i>Sheedy v. City of Phila.</i> , No. Civ. A. 03-06394-JF, 2005 WL 375657 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 15, 2005)	500,000	3,075	162.60		200,000	100,000	2	2
<i>Givens v. O'Quinn</i> , 447 F. Supp. 2d 593 (W.D. Va 2006)	15,000	1	15,000					15,000
<i>Keller v. City of Stockton</i> , No. CIVS-04-1325 LKK/DAD, 2006 WL 2051043 (E.D. Cal. July 20, 2006)	1,000,000	100,000	10		100,000	100,000	1	1
<i>Grassilli v. Barr</i> , 48 Cal. Rptr. 3d 715 (Cal. Ct. App. 2006)	3,000,000	357,000	8.40		35,000	357,000	0.10	0.10
<i>Mayorga Santamaria v. Dall Sch. Dist.</i> , No. Civ. A. 3:06CV692-L, 2006 WL 3350194 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 16, 2006)	10,000	100	100					100
<i>Day v. Ingle's Mkts., Inc.</i> , No. 2:01-CV-325, 2006 WL 239290 (E.D. Tenn. Jan. 25, 2006)	2,500,000	500,000	5					5
<i>Siggers-El v. Barlow</i> , 433 F. Supp. 2d 811 (E.D. Mich. 2006)	200,000	19,000	10.53					10.53
<i>Ziemba v. Armstrong</i> , 433 F. Supp. 2d 248 (D. Conn. 2006)	150,000	100,000	1.50					1.50
<i>Santamaria v. Dall. Ind. Sch. Dist.</i> , No. 3:06-CV-692-L, 2007 WL 1073850 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 10, 2007)	10,000	100	100					100
<i>Gibbons v. Bair Found.</i> , No. 1:04CV2018, 2007 WL 582314 (N.D. Ohio Feb. 20, 2007)	40,000	4,310	9.28					9.28
<i>McCloud v. Fortune</i> , 510 F. Supp. 2d 649 (N.D. Fla. 2007)	1,700,000	300,000	5.67					5.67
<i>Hixon v. City of Golden Valley</i> , No. 06-1548 (RHK/JSM), 2007 WL 4233086 (D. Minn. Nov. 29, 2007)	450,000	328,000	1.37					1.37
<i>Seltzer v. Morton</i> , 154 P.3d 561 (Mont. 2007)	20,000,000	1,100,000	18.8	9,900,000	9,900,000	1,100,000	9	9
<i>Short v. Freeman</i> , No. CA 06-240, 2007 WL 172217 (Ark. Ct. App. Jan. 24, 2007)	250,000	35,000	7.14					7.14
<i>Kunz v. DeFelice</i> , 538 F.3d 667 (7th Cir. 2008)	250,000	10,000	25	90,000	90,000	10,000	9	9
<i>Green v. Denny's Corp.</i> , No. 05-791-CJP, 2008 WL 4328221 (S.D. Ill. Sept. 18, 2008)	35,000	5,000	7					7
<i>Cruz v. Henry Modell &amp; Co.</i> , No. CV 05-1450(AKT), 2008 WL 905356 (E.D.N.Y. Mar. 31, 2008)	150,000	338,005	0.44					0.44
<i>Mendez v. Cnty. of San Bernardino</i> , 540 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2008)	250,000	2	125,000	5,000	5,000	2	2,500	2,500
<i>Redmond v. Gooseherst</i> , No. 06 C 3611, 2008 WL 3823099 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 12, 2008)	33,000	7,500	4.40					4.40
<i>Robles v. Auto Zone</i> , No. D04259, 2008 WL 2811762 (Cal. Ct. App. July 22, 2008)	7,500,000	73,150	102.53	438,900	438,900	73,150	6	6
<i>Ellis v. La Vecchia</i> , 567 F. Supp. 2d 601 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)	2,600	1	2,600					2,600

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Kerwin v. McConnell</i> , No. 05-93 Erie, 2008 WL 4525369 (W.D. Pa. Sept. 30, 2008)	100,000	5,000	20		7,500	5,000	1.50	1.50
<i>Martinez v. Thompson</i> , No. 9:04- CV-0440(DEP), 2008 WL 5157395 (N.D.N.Y. Dec. 8, 2008)	500,000	200,000	2.50		100,000	200,000	0.50	0.50
<i>Haddad v. Wal-Mart Stores</i> , 914 N.E.2d 59 (Mass. 2009)	1,000,000	972,775	1.03	0	1,000,000	972,775	1.03	1.03
<i>Bonner v. Normandy Park</i> , No. C07-962RSM, 2009 WL 279070 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 2, 2009)	25,000	35,000	0.71					0.71
<i>Arnold v. Wilder</i> , No. 3:04CV- 649-S, 2009 WL 2835783 (W.D. Ky. Aug. 31, 2009)	1,000,000	57,400	17.42		229,600	57,400	4	4
<i>Ojeda-Rodriguez v. Zayas</i> , 666 F. Supp. 2d 240 (D.P.R. 2009)	1,000,000	150,000	6.67		500,000	150,000	3.33	3.33
<i>Thompson v. Caruso</i> , No. 2:04- CV-204, 2009 WL 559872 (W.D. Mich. Mar. 4, 2009)	2,500	1	2,500					2,500
<i>Cabral v. U.S. Dep't of Justice</i> , 587 F.3d 13 (1st Cir. 2009)	250,000	360,000	0.69					0.69
<i>In re Bayside Prison Litig.</i> , 331 Fed. App'x 987 (3d Cir. 2009)	200,000	45,000	4.44		Vacated & remanded	45,000		
<i>Myers v. Cent. Fla. Invs.</i> , 592 F.3d 1201 (11th Cir. 2010)	506,850	103,620	4.89					4.89
<i>Quigley v. Winter</i> , 598 F.3d 938 (8th Cir. 2010)	250,000	13,685	18.27	20,350	54,750	13,685	4	4
<i>White v. McKinley</i> , 605 F.3d 525 (8th Cir. 2010)	1,000,000	14,000,000	0.07					0.07
<i>McCoy v. Alfrey</i> , No. 08-112, 2010 WL 4366120 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 28, 2010)	250,000	20,000	12.50					12.50
<i>Robertson v. Sullivan</i> , No. 07-CV- 1416, 2010 WL 1930658 (E.D.N.Y. May 12, 2010)	50,000	5,000	10					10
<i>Glenn v. Cole</i> , No. CIVS-06-0151 FCD KJM P, 2010 WL 2303028 (E.D. Cal. June 7, 2010)	2,000	1	2,000					2,000
<i>Wallace v. Poulos</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39176 (D. Md. 2012)	500,000	1	500,000		20,000	1	20,000	20,000
<i>Parris v. Pappas</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27301 (D. Conn. 2012)	150,000	100,000	1.50		150,000	100,000	1.50	1.50
<i>Finley v. Fry</i> , No. 05-5029, 2006 WL 1186310 (W.D. Ark. May 4, 2006)	5,000	10,000	0.50		5,000	1	5,000	5,000
CLAIM TYPE: CIVIL RIGHTS (MOLESTATION)								
<i>Sanchez v. Brokop</i> , 398 F. Supp. 2d 1177 (D.N.M. 2005)	2,000,000	1,200,000	1.67					1.67
CLAIM TYPE: CIVIL/CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS								
<i>Grosch v. Tunica Cnty.</i> , No. 2:06CV204-P-A, 2009 WL 161856 (N.D. Miss. Jan. 22, 2009)	600,000	100,000	6					6
CLAIM TYPE: CONVERSION								
<i>Hudson v. Cook</i> , 105 S.W.3d 821 (Ark. Ct. App. 2003)	250,000	35,000	7.14					7.14
<i>Motherway, Glenn &amp; Napleton v. Tehin</i> , 2003 WL 21501952 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	300,000	63,000	4.76					4.76
<i>Schwigel v. Kohlmann</i> , 694 N.W.2d 467 (Wis. App. 2005)	375,000	37,000	10.14					10.14
<i>La Jolla Cove Motel v. Jackman</i> , 2006 WL 401268 (Cal. Ct. App. 2006)	526,000	526,000	1					1
<i>Bennett v. Huish</i> , 155 P.3d 917 (Utah Ct. App. 2007)	50,000	18,645	2.68					2.68

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Moblie Mini, Inc. v. Khordt</i> , 2007 WL 2109224 (E.D. Cal. 2007)	1,062,710	212,540	5		743,900	212,540	3.50	3.50
<i>Schehr v. McEvoy</i> , 842 N.Y.S.2d 32 (N.Y.A.D. 2007)	200,000	29,000	6.90	100,000	100,000	29,000	3.45	3.45
<i>Riggan v. Glass</i> , 734 N.W.2d 486 (Iowa Ct. App. 2007)	500,000	221,000	2.26					2.26
<i>Graves v. Bullock</i> , 283 S.W.3d 615 (Ark. Ct. App. 2008)	25,000	5,715	4.37	4,500	8,000	910	8.79	8.79
<i>Khorshid, Inc. v. Christian</i> , 257 S.W.3d 748 (Tex. Ct. App. 2008)	200,000	3,000	66.67		12,000	3,000	4	4
<i>Bennett v. Reynolds</i> , 2010 WL 4670270 (Tex. Ct. App. 2010)	1,000,000	5,325	187.79	10,000	10,000	5,325	1.88	1.88
<i>Trealoff v. Forest River</i> , 2011 WL 4625124 (Cal. Ct. App. 2011)	8,000,000	850,000	9.41	4,000,000	4,000,000	850,000	4.71	4.71
<i>Muncey v. Eyeglass World</i> , 2012 N.M. App. LEXIS 98 (N.M. Ct. App. 2012)	2,000,001	300,000	6.67		2,000,001	300,000	6.67	6.67
CLAIM TYPE: COPYRIGHT								
<i>Sony BMG Music Entm't v. Tenenbaum</i> , 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 19086 (1st Cir. 2011)	675,000			67,500	675,000 <sup>3</sup>			
CLAIM TYPE: CUTPA								
<i>Bridgeport Harbour v. Ganim</i> , 131 Conn. App. 99 (Conn. App. Ct. 2011)	60,000	10,000	6					6
CLAIM TYPE: DEFAMATION								
<i>Gibson v. Overnite Transp. Co.</i> , 671 N.W.2d 388 (Wis. Ct. App. 2003)	250,000	33,000	7.58					7.58
<i>Bunton v. Bentley</i> , 153 S.W.3d 50 (Tex. 2004)	1,000,000	7,150,000	0.14		1,000,000	300,000	3.33	3.33
<i>Superior Fed. Bank v. Jones &amp; Mackey Const. Co.</i> , 219 S.W.3d 643 (Ark. Ct. App. 2005)	5,000,000	385,000	12.99	3,080,000	3,080,000	385,000	8	8
<i>Ky. Kingdom Amusement Park v. Belo Ky., Inc.</i> , 179 S.W.3d 785 (Ky. 2005)	2,500,000	475,000	5.26	1,000,000	1,000,000	475,000	2.11	2.11
<i>Hughes v. N. Cal. Carpenters Reg. Council</i> , 2007 WL 1448746 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007)	1,000,000	99,970	10		299,900	99,970	3	3
<i>State v. Carpenter</i> , 171 P.3d 41 (Alaska 2007)	150,000	5,000	30					30
<i>Diversified Water Diversion, Inc. v. Standard Water Sys.</i> , 2008 WL 4300258 (Minn. Ct. App. 2008)	30,000	1	30,000					30,000
<i>Cox v. Cox</i> , 2009 WL 806777 (Ohio Ct. App. 2009)	200,000	100,000	2					2
<i>Lawnwood Med. Ctr., Inc. v. Sadow</i> , 43 So. 3d 710 (Fla. Ct. App. 2010)	5,000,000	1	5,000,000					5,000,000
<i>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Dodson</i> , 2011 Ark. 19 (Ark. 2011)	15,000,000	6,000,000	2.50	6,000,000	15,000,000	6,000,000	2.50	2.50
<i>Hancock v. Variyam</i> , 345 S.W.3d 157 (Tex. Ct. App. 2011)	85,000	90,000	0.94					0.94
<i>Tanner v. Chassity Greech Ebbole</i> , 2011 Ala. Civ. App. LEXIS 364 (Ala. Civ. App. 2011)	200,000	1	200,000		50,000	1	50,000	50,000
<i>Fireworks Restoration Co. v. Hosto</i> , 371 S.W.3d 83 (Mo. Ct. App. 2012)	150,000	1	150,000		150,000	1	150,000	150,000

3. The Plaintiff elected to pursue statutory damages under the Copyright Act as opposed to actual damages.

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
2012)								
<i>Waste Mgmt. of Tex. v. Tex. Disposal Systems Landfill</i> , 2012 Tex. App. Lexis 4005 (Tex. Ct. App. 2012)	20,000,000	5,450,592	3.67	1,651,184.06	1,651,184	5,450,592	0.30	0.30
<i>Helena Chem. v. Uribe</i> , 2012 N.M. App. LEXIS 103 (N.M. App. 2012)	75,000	1	75,000	50,000	5,000	1	5,000	5,000
<i>O'Lee v. Compuware Corp.</i> , 2007 WL 963450 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007)	5,000,000	550,000	9.09	1,650,000	1,650,000	550,000	3	3
CLAIM TYPE: DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION (ADA)								
<i>Jones v. UPS, Inc.</i> , 674 F.3d 1187 (10th Cir. 2012)	2,000,000	630,307	3.17		630,307	630,307	1	1
CLAIM TYPE: EMOTIONAL DISTRESS								
<i>Bankhead v. ArvinMeritor</i> , 2012 Cal. App. LEXIS 483 (Cal. Ct. App. 2012)	4,428,000	1,845,000	2.40		4,428,000	1,845,000	2.40	2.40
CLAIM TYPE: EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION								
<i>Saffos v. Avaya, Inc.</i> , 16 A.3d 1076 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. 2011)	10,000,000	493,000	20.28	3,715,000	2,465,000	493,000	5	5
CLAIM TYPE: EMPLOYMENT RETALIATION								
<i>Howard Univ. v. Wilkins</i> , 22 A.3d 774 (D.C. 2011)	42,678	1	42,678					42,678
<i>Brown v. Comm'r of Probation</i> , 28 Mass. L. Rep. 549 (Mass. 2011)	500,000	6,000	83.33		108,000	6,000	18	18
CLAIM TYPE: EMPLOYMENT								
<i>Millazzo v. Universal Traffic Serv.</i> , 289 F. Supp. 2d 1251 (D. Colo. 2003)	45,000	5,000	9					9
<i>Bell v. Helmsley</i> , 2003 WL 1453108 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 2003)	10,000,000	1,175,000	8.51		500,000	54,000	9.26	9.26
<i>Bogle v. McClure</i> , 332 F.3d 1347 (11th Cir. 2003)	2,000,000	500,000	4					4
<i>Kuper v. Empire Blue Cross &amp; Blue Shield</i> , 2003 WL 359462 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)	200,000	178,878	1.12					1.12
<i>Zhang v. Am. Gem Seafoods, Inc.</i> , 339 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 2003)	2,600,000	360,000	7.22					7.22
<i>Shales v. Gen. Chauffeurs Local Union No. 330</i> , 2003 WL 22038643 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	250,000	171,500	1.46					1.46
<i>Daka, Inc. v. McCrae</i> , 839 A.2d 682 (D.C. 2003)	4,812,500	187,500	25.67		Remanded	187,500		
<i>Roth v. Farner-Bocken Co.</i> , 667 N.W.2d 651 (S.D. 2003)	500,000	25,000	20		Remanded	25,000		
<i>Frazier v. Badger</i> , 603 S.E.2d 587 (S.C. 2004)	200,000	200,000	1					1
<i>Williams v. ConAgra Poultry, Inc.</i> , 378 F.3d 790 (8th Cir. 2004)	6,063,750	600,000	10.11		600,000	600,000	1	1
<i>Young v. Daimlerchrysler, Corp.</i> , 2004 WL 2538639 (S.D. Ind. 2004)	4,500,000	100,000	45		300,000	100,000	3	3
<i>Hardeman v. City of Albuquerque</i> , 377 F.3d 1106 (10th Cir. 2004)	1,000,000	31,250	32	625,000	625,000	31,250	20	20
<i>Bains LLC v. Arco Prods. Co.</i> , 405 F.3d 764 (9th Cir. 2005)	5,000,000	500,000	10		450,000	50,000	9	9
<i>Jorling v. Habilitation Serv., Inc.</i> , 2005 WL 1657060 (S.D. Ohio 2005)	950,000	425,000	2.24					2.24
<i>Elestwani v. Nicolet Biomedical</i> , 2005 WL 2035078 (W.D. Wis. 2005)	1,400,000	80,000	17.50		700,000	80,000	8.75	8.75
<i>Casumpang v. Int'l Longshore &amp; Warehouse Union</i> , 411 F. Supp. 2d 1201 (D. Haw. 2005)	1,000,000	240,000	4.17		240,000	240,000	1	1
<i>Farfaras v. Citiz. Bank &amp; Trust of</i>	100,000	409,314	0.24		100,000	259,314	0.39	0.39



	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Chi.</i> , 2005 WL 670523 (N.D. Ill. 2005)								
<i>Acevedo Luis v. Zayas</i> , 419 F. Supp. 2d 115 (D.P.R. 2006)	5,000	1	5,000					5,000
<i>Gober v. Ralphs Grocery Store</i> , 40 Cal. Rptr. 3d 92 (Cal. App. 2006)	5,000,000	50,000	100	750,000	300,000	50,000	6	6
<i>Bowles v. Osmose Utils.</i> , 443 F.3d 671 (8th Cir. 2006)	80,000	20,000	4					4
<i>Ortega-Guerin v. City of Phoenix</i> , 2006 WL 2403511 (D. Ariz. 2006)	25,000	1	25,000					25,000
<i>Patterson v. Balsamico</i> , 440 F.3d 104 (2d Cir. 2006)	20,000	100,000	0.20		10,000	100,000	0.10	0.10
<i>Wysinski v. Agilent Tech. Inc.</i> , 2006 WL 2742475 (Cal. Ct. App. 2006)	3,854,320	963,580	4					4
<i>Tiegs v. Bank of Am.</i> , 2006 WL 2350377 (Cal. Ct. App. 2006)	3,000,000	97,000	30.93	387,456	387,456	97,000	3.99	3.99
<i>Brady v. Curators of Univ. of Mo.</i> , 213 S.W.3d 101 (Mo. App. 2006)	1,050,000	225,000	4.67					4.67
<i>Buhmeyer v. Case New Holland, Inc.</i> , 446 F. Supp. 2d 1035 (S.D. Iowa 2006)	275,000	10,000	27.50		40,000	10,000	4	4
<i>Atkinson v. Nat'l Bos. Video Ctr.</i> , 868 N.E.2d 183 (Mass. Ct. App. 2007)	50,000	2,500	20					20
<i>Wysinger v. Auto. Club of S. Cal.</i> , 69 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007)	1,000,000	280,000	3.57					3.57
<i>Kauffman v. Maxim Healthcare</i> , 509 F. Supp. 2d 210 (E.D.N.Y. 2007)	1,500,000	137,985	10.87		551,470	137,985	4	4
<i>Astor v. Rent-A-Ctr.</i> , 2007 WL 184741 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007)	1,000,000	619,205	1.61					1.61
<i>Cicarelli v. Sch. Dep't of Lowell</i> , 877 N.E.2d 609 (Mass. Ct. App. 2007)	50,000	10,000	5					5
<i>Keisler v. Kendall Cnty. Concrete</i> , 2007 WL 4620733 (Cir. Ct. Ill. 2007)	2,000,000	42,000	47.62		420,000	42,000	10	10
<i>Kelly v. Bass Pro Outdoor World</i> , 245 S.W.3d 841 (Mo. Ct. App. 2007)	2,800,000	4,300	651.16		Remanded	4,300		
<i>Littell v. Allstate Ins.</i> , 177 P.3d 1080 (N.M. App. 2007)	1,000,000	360,000	2.78					2.78
<i>Peake v. Patterson</i> , 2007 WL 2903209 (M.D. Pa. 2007)	30,000	500	60					60
<i>Tomao v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 2007 WL 2225905 (N.D. Ill. 2007)	3,000,000	300,000	10		18,460	9,230	2	2
<i>Cambio Health Solutions v. Reardon</i> , 234 Fed. App'x 331 (6th Cir. 2007)	5,000,000	884,290	5.65					5.65
<i>Lynn v. TNT Logistics</i> , 275 S.W.3d 304 (Mo. Ct. App. 2008)	6,750,000	50,000	135	450,000	3,750,000	50,000	75	75
<i>Hirsh v. Lecuona</i> , 2008 WL 2795859 (D. Neb. 2008)	350,000	391,000	0.90					0.90
<i>Tse v. UBS Fin.</i> , 568 F. Supp. 2d 274 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)	3,000,000	556,000	5.40		300,000	101,000	2.97	2.97
<i>Haynes v. Stephenson</i> , 2008 WL 4368994 (E.D. Ark. 2008)	2,500	1	2,500					2,500
<i>Styers v. Pennsylvania</i> , 2008 WL 598285 (M.D. Pa. 2008)	20,000	1	20,000					20,000
<i>Trinh v. Gentle Commc'ns</i> , 881 N.E.2d 1177 (Mass. App. 2008)	65,000	20,000	3.25					3.25
<i>Jelinek v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 2008 WL 6571067 (Ohio Pleas 2008)	25,000,000	700,000	35.71		4,000,000	700,000	5.71	5.71
<i>EEOC v. FedEx</i> , 513 F.3d 360 (4th Cir. 2008)	100,000	8,000	12.50					12.50
<i>McDonald's Corp. v. Ogborn</i> , 309 S.W.3d 274 (Ky. App. 2009)	1,000,000	100,000	10		400,000	100,000	4	4
<i>Morgan v. New York Life Ins.</i> , 559	10,000,000	6,000,000	1.67		6,000,000	6,000,000	1	1

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
F.3d 425 (6th Cir. 2009)								
<i>Roby v. McKesson Corp.</i> , 219 P.3d 749 (Cal. 2009)	15,000,000	3,511,000	4.27		1,905,000	1,905,000	1	1
<i>Lopez v. Bimbo Bakeries</i> , 2009 WL 1090375 (Cal. App. 2009)	2,000,000	340,700	5.87					5.87
<i>Blount v. Stroud</i> , 915 N.E.2d 925 (Ill. App. 2009)	2,800,000	1,465,180	1.91					1.91
<i>Isbell v. M&amp;J Materials</i> , 2009 WL 7110194 (Ala. Cir. 2009)	70,000	5,000	14					14
<i>Jones v. United Parcel Serv.</i> , 658 F. Supp. 2d 1308 (D. Kan. 2009)	2,000,000	630,305	3.17					3.17
<i>Peters v. Rivers Edge Mining</i> , 680 S.E.2d 791 (W.Va. 2009)	1,000,000	885,107	1.13					1.13
<i>Wallace v. DTG Operations</i> , 563 F.3d 357 (8th Cir. 2009)	500,000	30,000	16.67		120,000	30,000	4	4
<i>Wallace v. Suffolk Cnty. Police</i> , 2010 WL 3835882 (E.D.N.Y. 2010)	675,000	200,000	3.38		300,000	200,000	1.50	1.50
<i>LaMore v. Check Advance of Tenn.</i> , 2010 WL 323077 (Tenn. App. 2010)	500,000	18,520	27	250,000	250,000	18,520	13.50	13.50
<i>Safeshred v. Martinez</i> , 310 S.W.3d 649 (Tex. App. 2010)	200,000	7,570	26.42					26.42
<i>Veerman v. Deep Blue Grp.</i> , 2010 WL 4449067 (S.D.N.Y. 2010)	24,000	3,000	8					8
<i>Hamlin v. Hampton Lumber Mills</i> , 2011 WL 31778 (Or. 2011)	175,000	6,000	29.17	24,000	175,000	8,000	21.88	21.88
<i>Hamlin v. Hampton Lumber Mills</i> , 246 P.3d 1121 (Or. 2011)	175,000	6,000	29.17	24,000	175,000	8,000	21.88	21.88
<i>Dee v. Borough of Dummore</i> , 474 Fed. App'x 85 (3d Cir. 2012)	0	47,500	0		0	47,500	0	0
<i>Artica v. J.B. Custom Masonry &amp; Concrete</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 103272 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)	350,000	15,000	23.33		350,000	15,000	23.33	23.33
CLAIM TYPE: EXCESSIVE FORCE								
<i>Thomas v. Kelly</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 152491 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)	500,000	125,001	4		325,000	125,000	2.60	2.60
<i>Allen v. City of L.A.</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 168247 (C.D. Cal. 2012)	18,500	500,000	0.04		18,500	500,000	0.04	0.04
CLAIM TYPE: FCRA								
<i>Boris v. Choicepoint Servs. Inc.</i> , 249 F. Supp. 2d 851 (W.D. Ky. 2003)	250,000	197,000	1.27		250,000	100,000	2.50	2.50
<i>Bach v. First Union Nat'l Bank</i> , 486 F.3d 150 (6th Cir. 2007)	2,628,600	400,000	6.57	2,228,600	400,000	400,000	1	1
<i>Mullins v. Equifax Info. Servs.</i> , 2007 WL 2471080 (E.D. Va. 2007)	100,000	20,000	5					5
<i>Saunders v. Equifax Info. Servs.</i> , 469 F. Supp. 2d 343 (E.D. Va. 2007)	80,000	1,000	80					80
<i>Peer v. Lewis</i> , 2008 WL 2047978 (S.D. Fla. 2008)	657,000	133,500	4.92		112,500	12,500	9	9
<i>Cortez v. Trans Union</i> , 617 F.3d 688 (3d Cir. 2010)	750,000	50,000	15	100,000	100,000	50,000	2	2
<i>Dixon-Rollins v. Experian Solutions</i> , 2010 WL 3749454 (E.D. Pa. 2010)	500,000	30,000	16.67		270,000	30,000	9	9
<i>Brim v. Midland Credit Mgmt.</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 75714 (N.D. Ala. 2011)	623,180	100,000	6.23					6.23
CLAIM TYPE: FORCED LABOR								
<i>Shukla v. Sharma</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 18392 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)	2,500,000	750,000	3.33		500,000	500,000	1	1
CLAIM TYPE: FRAUD								
<i>Baribeau v. Gustafson</i> , 107 S.W.3d	250,000	127,288	1.96	200,000	200,000	127,288	1.57	1.57

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
52 (Tex. App. 2003)								
<i>Bocci v. Key Pharm., Inc.</i> , 76 P.3d 669 (Or. App. 2003)	22,500,000	500,000	45		3,500,000	500,000	7	7
<i>Eisenberg v. Reid</i> , 74 Fed. App'x 110 (2d Cir. 2003)	675,000	100,000	6.75					6.75
<i>Fritzmeier v. Krause Gentle Corp.</i> , 669 N.W.2d 699 (S.D. 2003)	300,000	94,075	3.19					3.19
<i>Home Pride Foods of Iowa v. Martin</i> , 2003 WL 23005185 (Iowa App. 2003)	82,228	0	82,228.20		Remanded	Remanded		
<i>McClain v. Metabolife Int'l, Inc.</i> , 259 F. Supp. 2d 1225 (N.D. Ala. 2003)	1,000,000	50,000	20		450,000	50,000	9	9
<i>Rhone-Poulenc Agro v. Dekalb Genetics</i> , 345 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	50,000,000	15,000,000	3.33					3.33
<i>Votto v. Am. Car Rental, Inc.</i> , 2003 WL 21716003 (Conn. Sup. 2003)	37,260	6,670	5.59					5.59
<i>Werremeyer v. K.C. Auto Salvage</i> , 2003 WL 21487311 (Mo. App. 2003)	200,000	9,000	22.22	125,000	125,000	9,000	13.89	13.89
<i>Atkinson v. Orkin Exterminating</i> , 604 S.E.2d 385 (S.C. 2004)	786,500	75,859.33	10.368		Remanded	75,859.33		
<i>Bardis v. Oates</i> , 14 Cal. Rptr. 3d 89 (Cal. App. 2004)	7,000,000	165,525	42.29		1,500,000	165,525	9.06	9.06
<i>Bowen &amp; Bowen v. Fowler</i> , 593 S.E.2d 668 (Ga. App. 2004)	500,000	100,000	5	250,000	250,000	100,000	2.50	2.50
<i>Boyd v. Goffoli</i> , 608 S.E.2d 169 (W.Va. 2004)	1,000,000	300,000	3.33					3.33
<i>Cass v. Stephens</i> , 156 S.W.3d 38 (Tex. App. 2004)	20,000,000	101,300	197.43	2,500,000	300,000	101,300	2.96	2.96
<i>Coosada Trucking v. CIT Fin.</i> , 2004 WL 3584072 (M.D. Ala. 2004)	1,300,000	4,480	290.18		17,920	4,480	4	4
<i>Gibson v. Total Car Franchising Corp.</i> , 223 F.R.D. 265 (M.D. N.C. 2004)	550,000	176,125	3.12					3.12
<i>Harris v. Archer</i> , 134 S.W.3d 411 (Tex. App. 2004)	750,000	101,950	7.36		407,790	101,950	4	4
<i>Hazelwood Patterson Co. v. Hancock</i> , 2004 WL 2903861 (Tex. App. 2004)	192,795	83,470	2.31					2.31
<i>Kapelanski v. Johnson</i> , 390 F.3d 525 (7th Cir. 2004)	331,000	100,000	3.31					3.31
<i>Motorola Credit v. Uzan</i> , 388 F.3d 39 (2d Cir. 2004)	2,132,896,905	2,132,896,905	1		Remanded	Remanded		
<i>Park v. Mobil Oil Guam, Inc.</i> , 2004 WL 2595897 (Guam Terr. 2004)	2,800,000	50,000	56	150,000	150,000	50,000	3	3
<i>Streetscenes v. ITC Entm't, Grp.</i> , 2004 WL 2668695 (Cal. App. 2004)	8,000,000	1,185,000	6.75		Remanded	1,185,000		
<i>Bosley v. Special Devices</i> , 130 F. App'x 143 (9th Cir. 2005)	150,000	175,000	0.86					0.86
<i>Konvitz v. Midland Walwyn Capital</i> , 129 F. App'x 344 (9th Cir. 2005)	4,780,000	215,670	22.16	1,080,000	1,080,000	215,670	5.01	5.01
<i>Krysa v. Payne</i> , 176 S.W.3d 150 (Mo. App. 2005)	500,000	18,450	27.10					27.10
<i>SAS &amp; Assocs. v. Home Mktg. Servs.</i> , 168 S.W.3d 296 (Tex. App. 2005)	200,000	12,227	16.36	22,722	22,722	12,227	1.86	1.86
<i>Simon v. San Paolo U.S. Holding Co.</i> , 113 P.3d 63 (Cal. 2005)	1,700,000	5,000	340		50,000	5,000	10	10
<i>A.M. Barbar Corp v. Hellriegel</i> , 2006 WL 2506417 (Tex.App. 2006)	300,000	5,000	60		30,000	5,000	6	6
<i>Chavarria v. Fleetwood Retail Corp.</i> , 143 P.3d 717 (N.M. 2006)	250,000	29,100	8.59	150,000	250,000	29,100	8.59	8.59
<i>Tony Gullo Motors I, L.P. v.</i>	150,000	28,800	5.21					5.21

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Chapa</i> , 212 S.W.3d 299 (Tex. 2006)								
<i>Amusement Indus., Inc. v. Antin</i> , 2007 WL 1241548 (Cal. App. 2007)	1,250,000	104,135	12					12
<i>Chavira v. Beal Props.</i> , 2007 WL 1289959 (Cal.App. 2007)	65,000	7,000	9.29	10,000	65,000	7,000	9.29	9.29
<i>Cole v. R &amp; T Props.</i> , 2007 WL 806519 (Cal. App. 2007)	250,000	291,150	0.86					0.86
<i>Huynh v. Phung</i> , 2007 WL 495023 (Tex. App. 2007)	200,000	20,000	10		100,000	20,000	5	5
<i>Jet Source Charter v. Doherty</i> , 55 Cal.Rptr.3d 176 (Cal. App. 2007)	26,000,000	6,500,000	4		6,500,000	6,500,000	1	1
<i>Jim Ray, Inc. v. Williams</i> , 260 S.W.3d 307 (Ark.App. 2007)	75,000	4,425	16.95		30,000	4,425	6.78	6.78
<i>Murphy v. Jefferson Pilot Commc'ns Co.</i> , Nos. 01-CP-10-115, 01-CP-10-2161, 2007 WL 5844103 (D.S.C. Dec. 10, 2007)	2,460,000	1,264,780	1.95					1.95
<i>Omari v. Kindred Healthcare Operating, Inc.</i> , No. B185113, 2007 WL 1640958 (Cal. Ct. App. June 7, 2007)	3,000,000	1,328,115	2.26					2.26
<i>Parker v. Prattville Memory Gardens Inc.</i> , No. CV-05-22, 2007 WL 6689265 (Ala. Cir. Ct. July 31, 2007)	1,000,000	50,000	20					20
<i>Southstar Funding LLC v. Sprouse</i> , No. 3:05-CV-253-W, 2007 WL 812174 (W.D.N.C. Mar. 13, 2007)	1,000,000	150,000	6.67		450,000	150,000	3	3
<i>Tawney v. Columbia Natural Res. LLC</i> , No. 03-C-10E, 2007 WL 5539870 (W.Va. Cir. Ct. June 27, 2007)	270,000,000	94,000,000	2.87					2.87
<i>Craig &amp; Bishop, Inc. v. Piles</i> , 247 S.W.3d 897 (Ky. 2008)	50,000	8,600	5.81					5.81
<i>Am. Family Mut. Ins. v. Miell</i> , 569 F. Supp. 2d 841 (N.D. Iowa 2008)	1,017,330	886,865	1.15					1.15
<i>California v. Altus Fin.</i> , 540 F.3d 992 (9th Cir. 2008)	700,000,000	0	700,000,000	0	0	0	0	0
<i>CP Constr. LLC v. Holder</i> , No. 3:06-1153, 2008 WL 4908866 (M.D. Tenn. Nov. 13, 2008)	99,975	99,975	1					1
<i>Craig Outdoor v. Viacom Outdoor</i> , 528 F.3d 1001 (8th Cir. 2008)	1,044,445	125,000	8.36					8.36
<i>Gehrett v. Chrysler Corp.</i> , 882 N.E.2d 1102 (Ill. App. 2008)	88,170	8,530	10.34		59,695	8,528	7	7
<i>GTR Rental v. DalCanton</i> , 547 F. Supp. 2d 510 (D.S.C. 2008)	800,000	46,545	17.19					17.19
<i>In re Ala. Medicaid Pharm. Average Wholesale Price Litig.</i> , No. CV-2005-219, 2005-219.10, 2005-219.11, 2008 WL 8170679 (Ala. Cir. Ct. June 19, 2008)	175,000,000	40,000,000	4.38		120,000,000	40,000,000	3	3
<i>Kirkpatrick v. Strosberg</i> , 894 N.E.2d 781 (Ill. App. 2008)	300,000	83,000	3.61					3.61
<i>PSG Poker Inc. v. DeRosa-Grund</i> , No. 06 Civ. 1104(DLC), 2008 WL 3852051 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2008)	200,000	28,720	6.96					6.96
<i>In re Advanced Modular Power Sys.</i> , 413 B.R. 643 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2009)	368,300	184,150	2					2
<i>Fastenal Co. v. Crawford</i> , 609 F. Supp. 2d 650 (E.D. Ky. 2009)	20,000	100,000	0.20					0.20
<i>Kozicki v. Craig</i> , No. D052006, 2009 WL 445058 (Cal. Ct. App. Feb. 24, 2009)	50,000	600	83.33		3,600	600	6	6

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Romania v. Volk</i> , No. 08-6229-AA, 2009 WL 4823390 (D. Or. Dec. 11, 2009)	350,000	350,000	1					1
<i>Austin v. Stokes-Craven Holding Corp.</i> , 691 S.E.2d 135 (S.C. 2010)	216,000	26,370	8.19					8.19
<i>Lawrence v. Intermountain, Inc.</i> , No. 20080835-CA, 2010 WL 4343178 (Utah Ct. App. Nov. 4, 2010)	484,000	138,265	3.50					3.50
<i>Modern Mgmt. v. Wilson</i> , 997 A.2d 37 (D.C. 2010)	2,000,000	180,000	11.11					11.11
<i>Mustafa v. Matrut</i> , No. 01-08-00985-CV, 2010 WL 1492419 (Tex. Ct. App. Apr. 15, 2010)	75,000	10,600	7.08		31,800	10,600	3	3
<i>Neitzke v. Lowrance</i> , No. Civ. 09-4028, 2010 WL 3957452 (D.S.D. Oct. 6, 2010)	121,158,255	40,386,085	3					3
<i>Paradigm Alliance Inc. v. Celeritas Tech. LLC</i> , No. 07-1121-EFM, 2010 WL 2681280 (D. Kan. July 2, 2010)	1,562,420	660,735	2.36					2.36
<i>Parsons &amp; Whittemore Enters. Corp. v. Cello Energy LLC</i> , No. 07-00743-CG-B, 2010 WL 3803189 (S.D. Ala. Sept. 22, 2010)	7,500,000	2,900,000	2.59					2.59
<i>Klein v. Weidner</i> , No. 08-3798, 2010 WL 2671450 (E.D. Pa. July 2, 2010)	548,800	548,800	1					1
<i>Miller v. Faiz</i> , 2011 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 2721 (Cal. App. 2011)	250,000	30,000	8.33		125,000	30,000	4.17	4.17
<i>Alcoser v. Thomas</i> , 2011 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 1180 (Cal. App. 2011)	5,490,566	183,019	30	1,000,000	1,000,000	130,819	7.64	7.64
<i>Tully v. McLean</i> , 948 N.E.2d 714 (Ill. App. Dist. 2011)	3,231,550	1,010,672	3.20					3.20
<i>Liu v. Wong</i> , 2011 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 9420 (Cal. App. 2011)	410,000	115,000	3.57					3.57
<i>Vanderbilt Mortg. &amp; Fin. v. Flores</i> , 692 F.3d 358 (5th Cir. 2012)	N/A							
<i>Barkley v. United Homes</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85793 (E.D.N.Y. 2012)	60,000 <sup>4</sup>	150,000	.40	0.40	60,000	150,000	.40	0.40
CLAIM TYPE: FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION								
<i>Estate of Overby v. Chad Franklin Nat'l Auto Sales N., LLC</i> , 361 S.W.3d 364 (Mo. 2012)	1,000,000	4,500	222.22	500,000	500,000	4,500	111.11	111.11
<i>Singleton v. Wyeth Inc.</i> , 2012 Pa. Super. Lexis 1593 (Pa. Super. 2012)	6,000,000	4,411,577	1.36		6,000,000	4,411,577	1.36	1.36
CLAIM TYPE: INSURANCE								
<i>Diamond Woodworks Inc. v. Argonaut Ins. Co.</i> , 135 Cal. Rptr. 2d 736 (Cal. App. 2003)	14,000,000	404,270	34.63	5,500,000	1,000,000	258,570	3.87	3.87
<i>Taylor Woodrow Homes, Inc. v. Acceptance Ins. Co. Inc.</i> , No. G029532, 2003 WL 21224088 (Cal. Ct. App. May 28, 2003)	5,000,000	293,487	17.04		1,000,000	293,487	3.41	3.41
<i>Trinity Evangelical Lutheran Church v. Tower Ins. Co.</i> , 661 N.W.2d 789 (Wis. 2003)	3,500,000	490,000	7.14					7.14
<i>Textron Fin. Corp. v. Nat'l Union Ins. Co.</i> , 13 Cal. Rptr.3d 586 (Cal. App. 2004)	10,000,000	165,415	60.45	1,700,000	360,000	89,745	4.01	4.01
<i>Campbell v. State Farm Mut. Auto.</i>	145,000,000	1,000,000	145	25,000,000	9,018,780	1,000,000	9.02	9.02

4. The plaintiffs were awarded different compensatory and punitive damages amounts; we have listed the highest amount awarded for each category.

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Ins.</i> , 98 P.3d 409 (Utah 2004)								
<i>Hollock v. Erie Ins. Exch.</i> , 842 A.2d 409 (Pa. Super. 2004)	2,800,000	278,825	10.04					10.04
<i>Hrobuchak v. Nationwide Prop. &amp; Cas. Ins. Co.</i> , No. 3:03 CV 0591, 2004 WL 3333124 (M.D. Pa. Sept. 24, 2004)	2,000,000	226,185	8.84					8.84
<i>Myers v. Workmen's Auto Ins. Co.</i> , 95 P.3d 977 (Idaho 2004)	300,000	735	408.16					408.16
<i>Hangartar v. Provident Life &amp; Acc. Ins. Co.</i> , 373 F.3d 998 (9th Cir. 2004)	5,000,000	1,920,850	2.60					2.60
<i>Ceimo v. Gen. Am. Life Ins.</i> , 137 Fed. App'x 968 (9th Cir. 2005)	7,000,000	5,470,000	1.28					1.28
<i>Willow Inn, Inc. v. Pub. Serv. Mut. Ins.</i> , 399 F.3d 224 (3d Cir. 2005)	150,000	135,000	1.11					1.11
<i>Capital Risk Mgmt. Inc. v. Millennium Bus. Ass'n of Am. Inc.</i> , No. 2:05cv1104-MHT, 2006 WL 2616001 (M.D. Ala. Sept. 12, 2006)	1,157,000	1,157,000	1					1
<i>Aon Risk Servs. v. Mickles, No. CA 05-1397</i> , 2006 WL 3082863 (Ark. Ct. App. Nov. 1, 2006)	2,000,000	30,000	66.67		750,000	30,000	25	25
<i>Century Sur. Co. v. Polisso</i> , 43 Cal. Rptr. 3d 468 (Cal. App. 2006)	2,015,000	622,911	3.23					3.23
<i>Haberman v. Hartford Ins. Gr.</i> , 443 F.3d 1257 (10th Cir. 2006)	100,000	5,000	20					20
<i>James v. Horace Mann Ins. Co.</i> , 638 S.E. 2d 667 (S.C. 2006)	1,000,000	146,000	6.85					6.85
<i>Kent v. United of Omaha Life Ins. Co.</i> , 430 F. Supp. 2d 946 (D.S.D. 2006)	7,500,000	2,400,000	3.13		2,400,000	2,400,000	1	1
<i>Thomas v. Grange Mut. Cas. Co.</i> , Nos. 2005-CA-002352-MF, 2005-CA-002378-MR, 2006 WL 3457841 (Ky. Ct. App. Dec. 12, 2006)	150,000	1	150,000	15,000	15,000	1,500	10	10
<i>Gallatin Fuels Inc. v. Westchester Fire Ins. Co.</i> , 244 F. App'x 424 (3d Cir. 2007)	20,000,000	1,325,000	15.09	4,500,000	4,500,000	1,100,000	4.09	4.09
<i>Nance v. Ky. Nat'l Ins. Co.</i> , 240 F. App'x 539 (4th Cir. 2007)	850,000	233,000	3.65					3.65
<i>Stone v. Fid. Nat'l Ins. Co.</i> , No. B190329, 2007 WL 3138396 (Cal. Ct. App. Nov. 21, 2007)	5,163,215	160,955	32.08	1,600,000	1,600,000	225,340	7.10	7.10
<i>Walker v. Farmers Ins. Exch.</i> , 63 Cal. Rptr. 3d 507 (Cal. App. 2007)	8,338,255	1,500,000	5.56	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1	1
<i>Zimmer v. Travelers Ins.</i> , 521 F. Supp. 2d 910 (S.D. Iowa 2007)	1,000,000	9,515,924	0.11					0.11
<i>Goddard v. Farmers Ins.</i> , 179 P.3d 645 (Or. 2008)	20,718,575	863,275	24	2,589,825	5,120,000	1,280,000	4	4
<i>Hall v. Farmers Alliance Mut. Ins.</i> , 179 P.3d 276 (Or. 2008)	660,000	18,650	35.39	74,600	74,600	18,650	4	4
<i>Jurinko v. Med. Protective</i> , 305 Fed. App'x 13 (3d Cir. 2008)	6,250,000	1,996,950	3.13		1,996,950	1,996,950	1	1
<i>Leavey v. Unum Provident Corp.</i> , 295 Fed.Appx. 255 (9th Cir. 2008)	15,000,000	4,000,000	3.75	3,000,000	3,000,000	2,000,000	1.50	1.50
<i>Merrick v. Paul Revere Life Ins.</i> , 594 F. Supp. 2d 1168 (D. Nev. 2008)	36,000,000	2,932,750	12.28		26,394,765	2,932,750	9	9
<i>Metro. Bus. Mgmt. v. Allstate Ins. Co.</i> , No. CV 05-8306 CAS(CWx), 2009 WL 4119270 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 23, 2009)	3,000,000	612,000	4.90		2,000,000	612,000	3.27	3.27
<i>Chasan v. Farmers Grp. Inc.</i> , No.	370,000	10,000	37		40,000	10,000	4	4

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
1CA-CV07-0323, 2009 WL 3335341 (Ariz. Ct. App. Sept. 24, 2009)								
<i>Major v. W. Home Ins.</i> , 87 Cal. Rptr. 3d 556 (Cal. App. 2009)	646,470	639,000	1.01					1.01
<i>Mitchell v. Fortis Ins.</i> , 686 S.E.2d 176 (S.C. 2009)	15,000,000	1,081,190	13.87		10,000,000	1,081,190	9.25	9.25
<i>Moore v. Am. Fam. Ins.</i> , 576 F.3d 781 (8th Cir. 2009)	1,150,000	1,150,000	1					1
<i>Strawn v. Farmers Ins.</i> , 209 P.3d 357 (Or. App. 2009)	8,000,000	1,500,000	5.33		3,593,300	898,325	4	4
<i>Ishimatu v. Royal Crown Ins. Corp.</i> , No. 06-0043-GA, 2010 WL 2219348 (Mariana Isl. June 1, 2010)	85,000	3,385	25.11	23,680	23,680	3,385	7	7
<i>Amerigraphics v. Mercury Cas. Co.</i> , 107 Cal. Rptr. 3d 307 (Cal. App. 2010)	3,000,000	130,000	23.08	1,700,000	500,000	130,000	3.85	3.85
<i>Deters v. USF Ins. Co.</i> , No. 108969, 2010 WL 2545024 (D. Iowa Feb. 26, 2010)	1,000,000	500,000	2					2
<i>McElgunn v. Cuna Mut. Ins.</i> , 700 F. Supp. 2d 1141 (D.S.D. 2010)	6,000,000	200,000	30		1,600,000	200,000	8	8
<i>Weinstein v. Prudential Prop. Ins.</i> , 233 P.3d 1221 (Idaho 2010)	6,000,000	210,000	28.57	1,890,000	1,890,000	210,000	9	9
<i>Deters v. USF Ins.</i> , 2011 Iowa App. LEXIS 37 (Iowa App. 2011)	1,000,000	500,000	2		1,000,000	1,000,000	1	1
<i>Rhodes v. AIG Domestic Claims</i> , 961 N.E.2d 1067 (Mass. 2012)	22,000,000	11,300,000	1.95		22,000,000	11,300,000	1.95	1.95
CLAIM TYPE: INVASION OF PRIVACY								
<i>Lawlor v. N. Am. Corp. of Ill.</i> , 949 N.E.2d 155 (Ill. App. 2011)	1,750,000	65,000	26.92	650,000	1,750,000	665,000	2.63	2.63
<i>Watson v. Cnty. of Santa Clara</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3544 (N.D. Cal. 2012)	2,000,000	400,000	5		2,000,000	400,000	5	5
<i>Wilson v. Wilkins</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 33302 (M.D. Tenn. 2012)	80,000	1	80,000		7,500	1	7,500	7,500
CLAIM TYPE: IP INFRINGEMENT								
<i>Coryn Grp. v. O.C. Seacrets</i> , 868 F. Supp. 2d 468 (D. Md. 2012)	265,035	1	265,035		50,000	1	50,000	50,000
<i>Sony BMG Music Entm't v. Tenenbaum</i> , 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 119243 (Mass. Dist. 2012)	N/A							
CLAIM TYPE: MALICIOUS PROSECUTION								
<i>Miracle v. Mehrban</i> , 2012 Cal. App. Unpub. Lexis 3943 (Cal. App. 2012)	30,000	8,457	3.55		30,000	8,457	3.55	3.55
CLAIM TYPE: MEDICAL MALPRACTICE								
<i>Ralli v. Skull Base Inst.</i> , 2012 Cal. App. Unpub. Lexis 1503 (Cal. App. 2012)	300,000	650,600	0.46		300,000	650,600	0.46	0.46
CLAIM TYPE: NEGLIGENCE								
<i>Craig v. Holsey</i> , 590 S.E.2d 742 (Ga. App. 2003)	200,000	8,800	22.73					22.73
<i>Mathias v. Accor Econ. Lodging</i> , 347 F.3d 672 (7th Cir. 2003)	186,000	2,500	74.40					74.40
<i>Shiv-Ram v. McCaleb</i> , 892 So. 2d 299 (Ala. 2003)	500,000	176,575	2.83					2.83
<i>Stamp v. Jackson</i> , 887 So. 2d 274 (Al. Civ. App. 2003)	150,000	25,000	6	75,000	75,000	25,000	3	3
<i>Stroud v. Lints</i> , 790 N.E.2d 440 (Ind. 2003)	500,000	1,381,500	0.36	500,000	Remanded	1,381,500		
<i>Atler v. Murphy Enters.</i> , 136 N.M. 701 (N.M. App. 2004)	998,725	371,330	2.69					2.69

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Austin v. Specialty Trans. Servs.</i> , 358 S.C. 298 (S.C. App. 2004)	2,158,000	850,000	2.54					2.54
<i>Union Pacific R.R. Co. v. Barber</i> , 149 S.W.3d 325 (Ark. 2004)	25,000,000	5,010,000	4.99					4.99
<i>Srenke v. Hogger</i> , 694 N.W.2d 296 (Wis. 2005)	225,000	2,000	112.50		Remanded	2,000		
<i>Aldworth Co. v. England</i> , 622 S.E.2d 367 (Ga. App. 2005)	1,000,000	750,000	1.33					1.33
<i>Texas Moto-Plex, Inc. v. Phelps</i> , No. 11-03-00336-CV, 2006 WL 246520 (Tex. Ct. App. Feb. 2, 2006)	75,000	1,000	75		9,000	1,000	9	9
<i>Morris v. Flaig</i> , 511 F. Supp. 2d 282 (E.D.N.Y. 2007)	110,000	5,270	20.87		50,000	5,270	9.49	9.49
<i>Catt v. Skeans</i> , 867 N.E.2d 582 (Ind. App. 2007)	500,000	2,101,270	0.24					0.24
<i>Owens v. Mayleben</i> , No. 2006-CA-001291-MR, 2007 WL 4125887 (Ky. Ct. App. Nov. 21, 2007)	50,000	14,000	3.57					3.57
<i>Rangel v. Robinson</i> , No. 01-05-00318-CV, 2007 WL 625042 (Tex. Ct. App. Mar. 1, 2007)	500,000	458,650	1.09					1.09
<i>Riess v. Davis</i> , No. 27CV05-14532, 2007 WL 3237496 (D. Minn. Aug. 2, 2007)	1,000,000	97,500	10.26		292,500	97,500	3	3
<i>Exxon Shipping Co. v. Baker</i> , 554 U.S. 411 (2008)	5,000,000,000	513,147,740	9.74	2,500,000,000	507,500,000	507,500,000	1	1
<i>Solis-Vicuña v. Noticias</i> , 862 N.Y.S.2d 883 (N.Y. Sup. 2008)	260,000	800,000	0.33					0.33
<i>Blood v. Qwest Servs.</i> , 224 P.3d 301 (Colo. App. 2009)	18,000,000	20,917,600	0.86	62,000,000	18,000,000	20,917,600	0.86	0.86
<i>Everhart v. O'Charley's Inc.</i> , 683 S.E.2d 728 (N.C. App. 2009)	350,000	10,000	35	250,000				35
<i>Hudgins v. Sw. Airlines</i> , 212 P.3d 810 (Ariz. App. 2009)	4,000,000	500,000	8		500,000	500,000	1	1
<i>Qwest Servs. v. Blood</i> , 252 P.3d 1071 (Colo. 2011)	18,000,000	21,667,600	0.83					0.83
<i>Cody P. v. Bank of America</i> , 2011 S.C. App. LEXIS 243 (S.C. App. 2011)	1,583,000	205,850	7.69					7.69
<i>Bayer CropScience v. Schafer</i> , 2011 Ark. 518 (Ark. 2011)	42,000,000	5,975,605	7.03					7.03
<i>Magnolia N. POA v. Heritage Cmty.</i> , 2012 S.C. App. LEXIS 50 (S.C. 2012)	2,000,000	6,500,000	0.31		2,000,000	6,500,000	0.31	0.31
CLAIM TYPE: NEGLIGENCE (OIL)								
<i>Grefer v. Alpha Technical</i> , 965 So. 2d 511 (La. App. 2007)	1,000,000,000	56,145,000	17.81	112,290,000	112,290,000	56,145,000	2	2
<i>Holdgrafer v. Unocal Corp.</i> , 73 Cal. Rptr. 3d 216 (Cal. App. 2008)	10,000,000	2,564,350	3.90	5,000,000	Remanded	2,564,350		
CLAIM TYPE: NUISANCE								
<i>Prestige of Beverly Hills v. Weber</i> , 2012 Nev. Unpub. LEXIS 422 (Nev. 2012)	100,000	28,287	3.54		100,000	28,287	3.54	3.54
CLAIM TYPE: OTHER								
<i>Jones v. Swanson</i> , 341 F.3d 723 (8th Cir. 2003)	500,000	450,000	1.11		150,000	200,000	0.75	0.75
<i>Akins Funeral Home Inc. v. Miller</i> , 878 So. 2d 267 (Ala. 2003)	150,000	400,000	0.38					0.38
<i>Sawtelle v. Waddell &amp; Reed, Inc.</i> , 754 N.Y.S.2d 264 (N.Y. App. Div. 2003)	25,000,000	1,827,499	13.68		Remanded	1,827,499		



	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Stogsdill v. Healthmark Partners</i> , 377 F.3d 827 (8th Cir. 2004)	5,000,000	500,000	10		2,000,000	500,000	4	4
<i>Stark v. Sandberg, Phoenix P.C.</i> , 381 F.3d 793 (8th Cir. 2004)	6,000,000	36,000	166.67					166.67
<i>Bowyer v. Hi-Ladd Inc.</i> , 216 W.Va 634 (W.Va. 2004)	400,000	100,000	4					4
<i>Reatta Res., Inc. v. Kraft</i> , No. 05-03-00229-CV, 2004 WL 423144 (Tex. App. Mar. 9, 2004)	200,000	6,000	33.33					33.33
<i>Kemp v. AT&amp;T</i> , 393 F.3d 1354 (11th Cir. 2004)	1,000,000	115	8,695.65		250,000	115	2,173.91	2,173.91
<i>Moreno v. City of Sacramento</i> , No. CIV-S-01-0725DFLDAD, 2005 WL 2016910 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2005)	200,000	367,000	0.54					0.54
<i>Planned Parenthood v. ACLA</i> , 422 F.3d 949 (9th Cir. 2005)	14,500,000	39,656	365.64		356,904	39,656	9	9
<i>Wolf v. Wolf</i> , 690 N.W.2d 887 (Iowa 2005)	25,000	1	25,000					25,000
<i>Brown v. LaFontaine-Rish Med.</i> , 822 N.Y.S.2d 527 (N.Y. App. Div. 2006)	5,000,000	368,000	13.59		2,500,000	368,000	6.79	6.79
<i>In re John Richards Homes</i> , 439 F.3d 248 (6th Cir. 2006)	2,000,000	4,100,000	0.49					0.49
<i>Chavez v. Carranza</i> , No. 03-2932 MI/P, 2006 WL 2434934 (W.D. Tenn. Aug. 15, 2006)	1,000,000	500,000	2					2
<i>Russo v. City of Hartford</i> , 419 F. Supp. 2d 134 (D. Conn. 2006)	75,000	22,500	3.33					3.33
<i>Fox v. Encounters Int'l</i> , No. 05-1139, 05-1404, 2006 WL 952317 (4th Cir. Apr. 13, 2006)	342,500	92,000	3.72					3.72
<i>Yates v. GunnAllen Fin.</i> , No. C05-1510 b2, 2006 WL 1821194 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2006)	1,442,292	240,382	6		721,000	240,382	3	3
<i>In re Brown</i> , No. 07-30283-KRH, 2007 WL 2254664 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Aug. 3, 2007)	5,000	3,300	1.52					1.52
<i>In re Johnson</i> , Bankr. No. 06-02537-BCG-13, 2007 WL 2274715 (Bankr. N.D. Ala. Aug. 7, 2007)	5,500	1,100	5					5
<i>Doe v. Kaiser</i> , Civ. Action No. 6:06-CV-1045(DEP), 2007 WL 2027824 (N.D.N.Y. July 9, 2007)	25,000	50,000	0.50					0.50
<i>Vasquez-Lopez v. Beneficial Or.</i> , 152 P.3d 940 (Or. App. 2007)	500,000	326,750	1.53	237,590	500,000	326,750	1.53	1.53
<i>Bennett v. Am. Med. Response</i> , 226 Fed. App'x 725 (9th Cir. 2007)	649,000	100,000	6.49		400,000	100,000	4	4
<i>Kono v. Meeker</i> , 743 N.W.2d 872 (Iowa App. 2007)	125,000	250,000	0.50					0.50
<i>Rowles v. Phila. Eagles</i> , No. 05-000586, 2007 WL 5090609 (Penn. Cir. Mar. 1, 2007)	100,000	1,000	100					100
<i>Smith v. Elias</i> , Civil No. 5612003, 2007 WL 4209701 (V.I. Super. Oct. 11, 2007)	350,000	150,000	2.33					2.33
<i>Gibson v. Moscovitz</i> , 523 F.3d 657 (6th Cir. 2008)	3,000,000	1,500,000	2					2
<i>In re White</i> , 410 B.R. 322 (M.D. Fla. 2009)	10,000	5,000	2					2
<i>In re WVF Acquisition, LLC</i> , 420 B.R. 902 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 2009)	50,000	52,000	0.96					0.96
<i>Bedolla v. Aglony</i> , No. H032125, 2009 WL 191768 (Cal. App. Jan. 28,	75,000	200,000	0.38					0.38

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
2009)								
<i>Univ. Med. Ctr. v. Beglin</i> , No. 2007-CA-00018-MR, 2009 WL 102800 (Ky. App. Jan. 16, 2009)	3,750,000	5,297,000	0.71					0.71
<i>Akins v. United Steelworkers of Am.</i> , 208 P.3d 457 (N.M. App. 2009)	30,000	1,660	18.07					18.07
<i>Mendez-Matos v. Munic. of Guaynabo</i> , 557 F.3d 36 (1st Cir. 2009)	350,000	35,000	10	35,000	35,000	35,000	1	1
<i>Lithia Motors v. Yovan</i> , 204 P.3d 120 (Or. Ct. App. 2009)	100,000	500	200	2,000	2,000	500	4	4
<i>Westbound Records, Inc. v. Justin Combs Publ'g</i> , No. 3:03-0155, 2009 WL 943516 (M.D. Tenn. Apr. 3, 2009)	3,500,000	366,900	9.54		688,525	344,260	2	2
<i>Sharp v. Kay</i> , No. 0212346, 2010 WL 4324668 (Cal. App. Nov. 3, 2010)	5,204,230	1,301,058	4					4
<i>Geragos v. Borer</i> , No. B208827, 2010 WL 60639 (Cal. Ct. App. Jan. 11, 2010)	9,000,000	2,250,000	4		600,000	150,000	4	4
CLAIM TYPE: OTHER (MEDICAL INFORMATION)								
<i>Randi A.J. v. Long Island Surgi-Ctr.</i> , 842 N.Y.S.2d 558 (N.Y. App. Div. 2007)	300,000	65,000	4.62					4.62
CLAIM TYPE: PERSONAL INJURY								
<i>Payne v. Jones</i> , 696 F.3d 189 (2d Cir. 2012)	300,000	60,000	5		100,000	60,000	1.67	1.67
<i>Allam v. Meyers</i> , No. 09 C 10500(MEA), 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 165142 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 19, 2012)	300,000	200,000	1.50		200,000	200,000	1	1
<i>Ondrisek v. Hoffman</i> , 698 F.3d 1020 (8th Cir. 2012)	30,000,000	3,000,000	10		12,000,000	3,000,000	4	4
CLAIM TYPE: PRISON								
<i>Sepulveda v. Burnside</i> , No. 09-14977, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 13023 (11th Cir. June 24, 2011)	99,999	1	99,999	99,999	Remanded	Remanded		
<i>Jacobs v. Pa. Dept. of Corr.</i> , No. 04-1366, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 60869 (W.D. Pa. June 7, 2011)	65,000	120,000	0.54		35,005	40,000	0.88	0.88
CLAIM TYPE: PRIVATE NUISANCE								
<i>Hollis v. Stonington Dev.</i> 714 S.E.2d 904 (S.C. Ct. App. 2011)	3,500,000	400,000	8.75	2,000,000	2,000,000	400,000	5	5
CLAIM TYPE: PRODUCTS LIABILITY								
<i>Mack Truck, Inc., v. Witherspoon</i> , 867 So. 2d 307 (Ala. 2003)	50,000,000	N/A		25,000,000	6,000,000			
<i>Romo v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 113 Cal. App. 4th 738 (Cal. Ct. App. 2003)	290,000,000	5,000,000	58		23,723,287	5,000,000	4.74	4.74
<i>Waddill v. Anchor Hocking, Inc.</i> , 78 P.3d 570 (Or. Ct. App. 2003)	1,000,000	100,854	9.92		403,416	100,854	4	4
<i>Staskal v. Symons Corp.</i> , 706 N.W.2d 311 (Wis. Ct. App. 2005)	15,000,000	9,321,610	1.61					1.61
<i>Suffix, U.S.A., Inc. v. Cook</i> , 128 S.W.3d 828 (Ky. Ct. App. 2005)	3,000,000	1,500,000	2					2
<i>Clark v. Chrysler Corp.</i> , 436 F.3d 594 (6th Cir. 2006)	3,000,000	235,630	12.73		471,000	235,630	2	2
<i>White v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 500 F.3d 963 (9th Cir. 2007)	52,000,000	2,305,435	22.56		Remanded			
<i>Groth v. Hyundai Precision &amp; Ind. Co.</i> , 149 P.3d 333 (Or. Ct. App. 2006)	8,300,000	1,900,000	4.37	500,000	8,300,000	1,900,000	4.37	4.37
<i>Coolley v. Lincoln Electric</i> , 776 F. Supp. 2d 511 (N.D. Ohio 2011)	5,000,000	1,250,000	4					4
<i>Garlock Sealing Tech. v. Robertson</i> , No. 2009-CA-000483-MR,	400,000	1,071,870	.37					0.37

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
2011 WL 1811683, (Ky. Ct. App. May 13, 2011)								
<i>Buell-Wilson v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 73 Cal. Rptr. 3d 277 (Cal. Ct. App. 2008)	246,000,000	122,606,005	2.01	75,000,000	55,000,000	27,606,005	1.99	1.99
CLAIM TYPE: PROPERTY								
<i>Denofio v. Soto</i> , No. Civ. A. 00-5866, 2003 WL 21488668 (E.D. Pa. June 24, 2003)	49,402	24,701	2					2
<i>Shrader-Miller v. Miller</i> , 855 A.2d 1139 (Me. 2004)	10,000	2,500	4					4
<i>Ellsworth v. Tuttle</i> , 148 F. App'x 653 (10th Cir. 2005)	150,000	805,800	0.19					0.19
<i>Winkler v. Petersilie</i> , 124 F. App'x 925 (6th Cir. 2005)	200,000	133,525	1.50		200,000	33,525	5.97	5.97
<i>Bishop Eddie Long Ministries v. Dillard</i> , 613 S.E.2d 673 (Ga. Ct. App. 2005)	400,000	135,000	2.96					2.96
<i>Roberie v. Vonbokern</i> , No. 2004-SC-000250-DG, 2006 WL 2454647 (Ky. Dec. 21, 2006)	5,000	1	5,000					5,000
<i>City of Modesto Redevelop. Agency v. Dow Chem. Co.</i> , Nos. 999345, 999643, 2006 WL 2346275 (Cal. Super. Aug. 1, 2006)	175,000,000	3,173,834	55.14		12,695,336	3,173,834	4	4
<i>Hayes Sight and Sound, Inc. v. ONEOK</i> , 136 P.3d 428 (Kan. 2006)	5,250,000	1,710,887	3.07					3.07
<i>Nemcek v. Santee</i> , 713 N.W.2d 248 (Iowa Ct. App. 2006)	8,380	1	8,380					8,380
<i>Goff v. Elmo Greer &amp; Sons</i> , 297 S.W.3d 175 (Tenn. 2007)	2,000,000	3,305	605.14	1,000,000	500,000	3,305	151.29	151.29
<i>Action Marine v. Cont'l Carbon</i> , 481 F.3d 1302 (11th Cir. 2007)	17,500,000	3,209,000	5.45					5.45
<i>Shahi v. Madden</i> , 949 A.2d 1022 (Vt. 2008)	1,000,000	500,000	2					2
<i>JCB v. Union Planters Bank</i> , 539 F.3d 862 (8th Cir. 2008)	1,087,500	1	1,087,500		108,750	1	108,750	108,750
<i>Williams v. Manning</i> , No. 05C-11-209-JOH, 2009 WL 960670 (Del. Super. Mar. 13, 2009)	20,000	1	20,000					20,000
<i>Marin v. Exxon Mobil Corp.</i> , No. 2008 CA 1724, 2009 WL 7004332 (La. Ct. App. Sept. 30, 2009)	21,005,576	21,005,576	1					1
<i>Blust v. Lamar Adver.</i> , 917 N.E.2d 373 (Ohio Ct. App. 2009)	2,245,000	32,000	70.16	550,315	62,000	32,000	1.94	1.94
<i>Bronakowski v. Lindhurst</i> , No. CA 08-1151, 2009 WL 1816165 (Ark. Ct. App. June 24, 2009)	25,000	590	42.37					42.37
<i>Ellis v. Pauline S. Sprouse Trust</i> , 304 S.W.3d 333 (Tenn. Ct. App. 2009)	30,000	82,500	0.36					0.36
<i>Williams v. Manning</i> , No. 05C-11-209-JOH, 2009 WL 960670 (Del. Super. Mar. 13, 2009)	100,000	10,000	10		50,000	5,000		10
<i>Perrine v. E.I. DuPont and Co.</i> , 694 S.E.2d 815 (W.Va. 2010)	196,200,000	55,537,520	3.53		117,720,000	55,537,520	2.12	2.12
<i>Ross v. Rosen-Rager</i> , 67 So. 3d 29 (Ala. 2010)	350,000	13,345	26.23		120,000	13,345	8.99	8.99
<i>Duncan v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 682 S.E.2d 877 (S.C. Ct. App. 2009)	3,000,000	589,720	5.09					5.09
CLAIM TYPE: SEXUAL ASSAULT								
<i>R.O. v. A.C.</i> , 2012 Ky. App. LEXIS 53 (Ky. Ct. App. 2012)	6,000,000	2,041,239	2.94		6,000,000	2,041,239	2.94	2.94

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
CLAIM TYPE: TITLE VII								
<i>Baker v. John Morrell &amp; Co.</i> , 266 F. Supp. 2d 909 (N.D. Iowa 2003)	650,000	735,000	0.88					0.88
<i>Filipovich v. K &amp; R Express Sys.</i> , 2003 WL 1463531 (N.D. Ill. 2003)	126,424	300	421.41		25,000	300	83.33	83.33
<i>Madeja v. MPB Corp.</i> , 821 A.2d 1034 (N.H. 2003)	350,000	N/A		300,000	300,000			
<i>Marmar v. Unicco Serv. Co.</i> , 2003 WL 22462053 (E.D. Pa. 2003)	140,000	70,000	2					2
<i>Jones v. Rent-A-Ctr. Inc.</i> , 281 F. Supp. 2d 1277 (D. Kan. 2003)	300,000	10,000	30	290,000	290,000	10,000	29	29
<i>Parrish v. Sollecito</i> , 280 F. Supp. 2d 145 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)	500,000	15,000	33.33		50,000	15,000	3.33	3.33
<i>Van Horn v. Specialized Support Serv. Inc.</i> , 269 F. Supp. 2d 1064 (S.D. Iowa 2003)	20,000	62,000	0.32					0.32
<i>MacGregor v. Mallinckrodt, Inc.</i> , 373 F.3d 923 (8th Cir. 2004)	829,197	170,803	4.85	300,000	300,000	170,803	1.76	1.76
<i>Richardson v. Tricom Pictures &amp; Prods. Inc.</i> , 334 F. Supp. 2d 1303 (D. Utah 2004)	50,000	20,000	2.50		20,000	20,000	1	1
<i>Rodriguez-Torres v. Caribbean Forms Mfr. Inc.</i> , 399 F.3d 52 (1st Cir. 2005)	199,900	355,000	0.56					0.56
<i>Pollard v. E.I. DuPont De Nemours, Inc.</i> , 412 F.3d 657 (6th Cir. 2005)	2,500,000	2,200,000	1.14					1.14
<i>Watson v. E.S. Sutton, Inc.</i> , No. 02 Civ. 2739 (KMW), 2005 WL 2170659 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 6, 2005)	2,500,000	1,934,000	1.29	717,000	717,000	1,554,000	0.46	0.46
<i>Tisdale v. Fed. Exp. Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 516 (6th Cir. 2005)	100,000	1	100,000					100,000
<i>Tuszynski v. Innovative Serv. Inc.</i> , No. 01-CV-6302, 2005 WL 221234 (W.D.N.Y. Jan. 29, 2005)	30,000	1	30,000		30,000	26,830	1.12	1.12
<i>Lopez v. Aramark Unif. &amp; Career Apparel, Inc.</i> , 426 F. Supp. 2d 914 (N.D. Iowa 2006)	260,000	35,000	7.43					7.43
<i>Brown v. Hillcrest Foods, Inc.</i> , 2006 WL 3359487 (W.D.N.C. 2006)	250,000	170,000	1.47					1.47
<i>Clofffi v. N.Y. Cmty. Bank</i> , 465 F. Supp. 2d 202 (E.D.N.Y. 2006)	195,000	125,000	1.56					1.56
<i>Alexander v. City of Milwaukee</i> , 474 F.3d 437 (7th Cir. 2007)	102,000	9,500	10.74					10.74
<i>Chopra v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , 527 F. Supp. 2d 230 (D. Conn. 2007)	10,000,000	1,152,770	8.67		5,000,000	1,152,770	4.34	4.34
<i>Thomas v. iStar Fin, Inc.</i> , 508 F. Supp. 2d 252 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)	1,600,000	443,500	3.61		190,000	279,450	0.68	0.68
<i>Bjornson v. Dave Smith Motors/Frontier Leasing &amp; Sales</i> , 578 F. Supp. 2d 1269 (D. Idaho 2008)	100,000	1	100,000					100,000
<i>Weingarten v. Optima Commc'n Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 07 Civ. 964(SAS), 2008 WL 586280 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 4, 2008)	50,000	5,000	10					10
<i>Goldsmith v. Bagby Elevator Co., Inc.</i> , 513 F.3d 1267 (11th Cir. 2008)	500,000	54,320	9.20					9.20
<i>Noyes v. Kelly Svcs. Inc.</i> , No. 2:02-cv-2685-GEB-CMK, 2008 WL 2915113 (E.D. Cal. July 25, 2008)	5,900,000	647,175	9.12		647,175	647,175	1	1
<i>Abner v. Kansas City S.R. Co.</i> , 513 F.3d 154 (5th Cir. 2008)	125,000	1	125,000					125,000
<i>Mendez v. Perla Dental</i> , 2008 WL 821882 (N.D. Ill. 2008)	250,000	20,430	12.24					12.24

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
<i>Zakre v. Norddeutsche Landesbank</i> , 541 F. Supp. 2d 555 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)	2,500,000	1,450,000	1.72		600,000	1,450,000	0.41	0.41
<i>Quinby v. WestLB AG</i> , 2008 WL 3826695 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)	1,200,000	500,000	2.40		750,000	300,000	2.50	2.50
<i>Paul v. Asbury Auto. Grp.</i> , 2009 WL 188592 (D. Or. 2009)	2,750,000	1,900,000	1.45		150,000	150,000	1	1
<i>Forsberg v. Pefanis</i> , 2009 WL 4798124 (N.D. Ga. 2009)	7,500,000	1,650,000	4.55		4,500,000	1,150,000	3.91	3.91
<i>Laymon v. Lobby House</i> , 613 F. Supp. 2d 504 (D. Del. 2009)	100,000	1,500	66.67		25,000	1,500	16.67	16.67
<i>Norris v. N.Y. City Coll. of Tech.</i> , No. 07-CV-853, 2009 WL 82556 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 14, 2009)	425,000	75,000	5.67		25,000	75,000	0.33	0.33
<i>Manzo v. Sovereign Motor Cars Ltd.</i> , No. 08-CV-1229(JG)(SMG), 2010 WL 1930237 (E.D.N.Y. May 11, 2010)	200,000	50,000	4					4
<i>Mendez v. Starwood Hotels &amp; Resorts Worldwide, Inc.</i> , No. 08 Civ. 4967(CM), 2010 WL 3958789 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2010)	3,000,000	1,000,000	3		300	10,000	0.03	0.03
<i>Arizona v. ASARCO</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 75936 (D. Ariz. 2011)	868,750	1	868,750		300,000	1	300,000	300,000
<i>Zielinski v. SPS Tech.</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135187 (E.D. Pa. 2011)	500,000	435,000	1.15		327,500	275,000	1.19	1.19
<i>Chisholm v. Mem'l Sloan-Kettering</i> , 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 130089 (S.D.N.Y. 2011)	1,000,000	233,290	4.29		50,000	23,390	0.21	0.21
CLAIM TYPE: TOBACCO								
<i>Boeken v. Phillip Morris Inc.</i> , 19 Cal. Rptr. 3d 101 (Cal. App. 2004)	3,000,000,000	5,139,127	583.76	100,000,000	50,000,000	5,149,127	9.71	9.71
<i>Henley v. Philip Morris, Inc.</i> , 9 Cal. Rptr. 3d 29 (Cal. App. 2004)	50,000,000	1,500,000	33.33	25,000,000	9,000,000	1,500,000	6	6
<i>Boerner v. Brown &amp; Williamson Tobacco</i> , 394 F.3d 594 (8th Cir. 2005)	15,000,000	4,025,000	3.73		5,000,000	4,025,000	1.24	1.24
<i>Engle v. Liggett Grp., Inc.</i> , 945 So. 2d 1246 (Fla. 2006)	145 Billion	12,700,000	11,417.32	Vacated	Affirmed & Remanded			
<i>Estate of Schwartz v. Phillip Morris</i> , 134 P.3d 409 (Colo. 2006)	150,000,000	1,050,000	142.86					142.86
<i>Williams v. Philip Morris</i> , 176 P.3d 1255 (Or. 2008)	79,500,000	821,485	96.78	32,000,000	79,500,000	821,485	96.78	96.78
<i>Bullock v. Philip Morris</i> , 71 Cal. Rptr. 3d 775 (Cal. App. 2008)	28 Billion	850,000	32,941.18	28,000,000	Reversed & Remanded	850,000		
<i>Frankson v. Brown &amp; Williamson Tobacco</i> , 886 N.Y.S.2d 714 (N.Y. App. Div. 2009)	6,000,000	175,000	34.29	5,000,000	Remanded			
<i>Bullock v. Philip Morris</i> , 198 Cal. App. 4th 543 (Cal. App. 2011)	28,000,000	850,000	32.94	13,800,000	13,800,000	580,000	16.24	16.24
<i>R.J. Reynolds Tobacco v. Townsend</i> , 2012 Fla. App. LEXIS 2122 (Fla. App. 2012)	80,000,000	10,800,000	7.41		40,800,000	10,800,000	3.78	3.78
<i>Philip Morris USA, Inc. v. Kayton</i> , 2012 Fla. App. LEXIS 20440 (Fla. App. 2012)	16,215,000	8,000,000	2.03		16,215,000	8,000,000	2.03	2.03
<i>Philip Morris USA, Inc. v. Cohen</i> , 102 So. 3d 11 (Fla. Dist. App. 2012)	30,000,000	10,000,000	3		10,000,000	10,000,000	1	1
CLAIM TYPE: TRESPASS								
<i>Starrh &amp; Starrh Cotton Growers v. Aera Energy LLC</i> , Nos. F058778 & F059660, 2012 Cal. App. Unpub. LEXIS 608 (Cal. App. Jan. 25, 2012)	N/A							

	ORIGINAL (TRIAL COURT)			INT.	APPROVED (APPELLATE)			FINAL RATIO
	PUN.	COMP.	RATIO		PUN.	COMP.	RATIO	
CLAIM TYPE: UNLAWFUL SEARCH								
<i>Smith v. City of Oakland</i> , No. 07-6298 MHP, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 127393 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 3, 2011)	25,000	105,025	0.24					0.24
CLAIM TYPE: VIOLATION OF FARM LABOR								
<i>Perez-Farias v. Global Horizons, Inc.</i> , 286 P.3d 46 (Wash. 2012)	N/A							
CLAIM TYPE: WRONGFUL DEATH								
<i>Arrow Int'l v. Sparks</i> , 98 S.W.3d 48 (Ark. App. 2003)	4,000,000	175,000	22.86					22.86
<i>Phelps v. Louisville Water Co.</i> , 103 S.W.3d 46 (Ky. 2003)	2,000,000	176,361	11.34					11.34
<i>Estate of Moreland v. Dieter</i> , 395 F.3d 747 (7th Cir. 2005)	27,500,000	29,000,000	0.95					0.95
<i>Miller v. Levering Reg'l Health Care Ctr. LLC</i> , 202 S.W.3d 614 (Mo.App. 2006)	240,000	10,000	24					24
<i>USA Truck, Inc. v. West</i> , 189 S.W.3d 904 (Tex. App. 2006)	750,000	500,000	1.50					1.50
<i>Guariglia v. Price Chopper Operating Co.</i> , 830 N.Y.S.2d 871 (N.Y. App. Div. 2007)	1,000,000	325,000	3.08		750,000	325,000	2.31	2.31
<i>Malcolm v. Evenflo Co.</i> , No. DV 03-69, 2007 WL 7120126 (D. Mont. Oct. 25, 2007)	3,700,000	6,697,490	0.55					0.55
<i>Mobile Infirmary Ass'n v. Tyler</i> , 981 So. 2d 1077 (Ala. 2007)	5,500,000	1	5,500,000		3,000,000	1	3,000,000	3,000,000
<i>Steel Techs. v. Congleton</i> , 234 S.W.3d 920 (Ky. 2007)	1,000,000	667,265	1.50					1.50
<i>Mohr v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.</i> , No. W2006-01382-COA-R3-CV, 2008 WL 4613584 (Tenn. App. Oct. 14, 2008)	48,778,000	3,450,000	14.14		13,800,000	3,450,000	4	4
<i>Flax v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.</i> , 272 S.W.2d 521 (Tenn. 2008)	65,000,000	5,000,000	13	13,367,345	13,367,345	2,500,000	5.35	5.35
<i>Jolley v. Energen Res.</i> , 198 P.3d 376 (N.M. App. 2008)	13,000,000	1,922,050	6.76					6.76
<i>Murphy v. Gilman</i> , 551 F. Supp. 2d 677 (W.D. Mich. 2008)	2,500,000	250,000	10					10
<i>Martin v. Survivair Respirators, Inc.</i> , 298 S.W.3d 23 (Mo. App. 2009)	15,000,000	12,000,000	1.25					1.25
<i>Wackenhut Corrs. Corp. v. de la Rosa</i> , 305 S.W.3d 594 (Tex. App. 2009)	20,500,000	12,000,000	1.71					1.71
<i>ETT, Inc., v. Delegado</i> , No. 46901, 2010 WL 3246334 (Nev. Apr. 29, 2010)	10,000,000	4,183,250	2.39	4,183,250	4,183,250	4,183,250	1	1
<i>John Hancock Life Ins. v. Perchikov</i> , No. 04 CV 98(NG)(MDG), 2010 WL 185007 (E.D.N.Y. Jan. 15, 2010)	10,000,000	215,515	46.40		5,000,000	215,515	23.20	23.20
<i>Ragland v. DiGiuro</i> , 352 S.W.3d 908 (Ky. App. 2010)	63,341,710	3,300,000	19.19		30,000,000	3,300,000	9.09	9.09
<i>Blango v. Jeanes Hosp.</i> , No. 4518, 2011 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl. LEXIS 101 (Ct. Com. Pl. Apr. 12, 2011)	3,500,000	500,000	7	1,500,000	1,500,000	500,000	3	3
<i>Gutierrez v. Meteor Monument</i> , 2012 N.M. App. Unpubl. LEXIS 314 (N.M. App. 2012)	10,000,000	2,730,000			10,000,000	2,730,000	3.66	3.66
<i>McLemore v. Elizabethon Med. Investors</i> , 2012 Tenn. App. LEXIS (S.C. App. 2012)	4,250,000	225,000	18.89		4,250,000	225,000	18.89	18.89