Index Volume 32 - District of Columbia Survey - Rules Pamphlet

Catholic University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.law.edu/lawreview

Recommended Citation

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by CUA Law Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Catholic University Law Review by an authorized editor of CUA Law Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact edinger@law.edu.
“Look-Alike” Capsules, Generic Drug Substitution, and the Lanham Act:
The Elusive Contributory Infringement Standard of Inwood Laboratories, Inc. v. Ives Laboratories, Inc. ................. 345
Lundy, Issac, and Frady: A Trilogy of Habeas Corpus Restraint .......... 169
Nixon v. Fitzgerald: Presidential Immunity as a Constitutional Imperative ...................................................... 759
People Against Nuclear Energy v. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission: Potential Psychological Harm Under NEPA .......... 495
Rowley: The Court’s First Interpretation of the Education for All Handicapped Children Act of 1975 ....................... 941
Search and Seizure: From Carroll to Ross, The Odyssey of the Automobile Exception ............................................. 221
Subsequent Remedial Measures in Strict Liability: Later Opinions as Evidence of Defects in Earlier Reasoning .............. 895

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SURVEY

A Fair Cross Section and Distinctiveness in the Jury Selection Plan for the District of Columbia ........................................... 985
Commercial Law: Changes in Implied Warranty Disclaimers ........... 1009
Constitutional Law: Race as a Factor in Interracial Adoptions ........ 1022
D.C. Code Section 11-2503(a): Disbarment or Banishment? .......... 1038
Estate of Chappelle v. Sanders: Due Diligence Confusion Continues .... 966
Greater Washington Central Labor Council: A Dilution of Home Rule Act Limitations ..................................................... 1044
Thompson v. United States: Limiting the Scope of the Exclusionary Rule ........................................................................ 993
Wilson v. United States: The Narrow Line Between Innis and Edwards 1001

RULES PAMPHLET
